## The Oblivous Machine or: How to Put the C into MPC

Marcel Keller Peter Scholl

University of Bristol

9 June 2016

# Secure Multiparty Computation



- Computation on secret inputs
- Replace trusted third party

Wanted: f(x, y, z)

# Secure Multiparty Computation



Wanted: f(x, y, z)

- Computation on secret inputs
- Replace trusted third party
- How to formulate f?
  - Start with circuit
- Central questions in MPC
  - How many trusted parties?
  - What deviation?

# Multiparty Computation in This Talk

### Security model

How many parties are how corrupted? In this work:

- Malicious adversary: Corrupted parties deviate from protocol.
- Dishonest majority of corrupted parties
  - Impossible without computational assumptions (PK crypto)
  - Shamir secret sharing does not help
  - No guaranteed termination

## Malicious Offline-Online MPC Protocols



#### Advantages

- ► No secret inputs on the line when using crypto ⇒ No one gets hurt if protocol aborts!
- Online computation might have many rounds, but preprocessing is constant-round.

## Malicious Offline-Online MPC Protocols



Suitable public-key crypto

- Somewhat homomorphic encryption (SPDZ)
- Oblivious transfer (TinyOT, MASCOT)

## First Step — Oblivious Data Structures

- Generally
  - Secret pointers
  - Secret type of access if needed
- Oblivious array / dictionary
  - Secret index / key
  - Secret whether reading or writing
- Oblivious priority queue
  - Secret priority and value
  - Secret whether decreasing priority or inserting

## **Oblivious RAM**



## **Oblivious RAM**



```
Oblivious RAM in MPC
```



# Dijkstra's Algorithm in MPC

for each vertex do outer loop body for each neighbor do inner loop body

- Dijkstra's algorithms uses two nested loops
  - One for vertices, one for neighbors thereof
  - MPC would reveal the number of neighbors for every vertex
  - Replace by loop over all edges
  - Flag set when starting with a new vertex
- Oblivious data structures with public size
- Polylog overhead over classical algorithm

# Dijkstra's Algorithm in MPC

for each edge do outer loop body (maybe dummy) inner loop body

- Dijkstra's algorithms uses two nested loops
  - One for vertices, one for neighbors thereof
  - MPC would reveal the number of neighbors for every vertex
  - Replace by loop over all edges
  - Flag set when starting with a new vertex
- Oblivious data structures with public size
- Polylog overhead over classical algorithm

# Going General

#### Dijkstra (special case)

Obscure inner vs outer loop by doing both all the time

#### General case

Obscure by doing everything all the time

- Including memory accesses
- Data registers provide no value
- Memory-only machine with one register for program counter

## Memory-only Machine

- Need 3 accesses for arithmetic operations like addition
- 3 is enough for any operation
- For every possible operation there is a circuit before, after, and in-between memory accesses
- Oblivous selection using instruction from program memory
- Last circuit outputs next program counter

## Example

```
int main() {
    unsigned int a[5];
    for (unsigned int i = 0; i < 5; i++)
        a[i] = i;
}</pre>
```

Example

1 for.cond: 2 %0 = load i64\* %i, align 8 3 %cmp = icmp ult i64 %0, 5 4 br i1 %cmp, label %for.body, label  $\leftrightarrow$ %for.end 5 6 for.body: 7 %1 = load i64\* %i, align 8 8 %2 = load i64\* %i, align 8 9 %arrayidx = getelementptr inbounds ↔ [5 x i64] \* %a, i32 0, i64 %2 10 store i64 %1, i64\* %arrayidx, align ↔ 8 11 br label %for.inc

# Example

1	#	for.cond:
2		ult_pos_const 9 5 8 # 2
3		br 4 8 9 # 3
4	#	for.body:
5		add_const 10 3 1 # 4
6		store 0 8 10 # 5
7	#	for.inc:
8		add_const 8 1 8 # 6
9		jmp 2 0 0 # 7
10	#	for.end:
11		mov 0 2 0 # 8
12		jmp 10 0 0 # 9

## Machine Speed



- 2 desktop machines
- 1 Gbps local network
- Path ORAM (CORAM too deep)

100-Party Oblivious Machine

#### Online

# 0.385 Hz

RAM: 1 million field elements (64 bit) 8.2¢ per clock cycle and party c4.8×large



Offline

Per clock cycle	Time	Cost per party
c4.8xlarge	16 minutes	<b>49</b> ¢
t2.small	7.7 hours	<b>21</b> ¢

## Overhead for Dijkstra's Algorithm



# Comparison to Garbled Circuits for MIPS

#### Set intersection

Input size per party	64 inputs	256 inputs	1024 inputs
Wang et al. baseline	58.35 s	324.09 s	3068.19 s
Wang et al. optimized	2.77 s	12.96 s	108.45 s
This work (online)	6.43 s	44.12 s	1346.82 s

## Bottom Line

Slow but as general as possible

- No static analysis
- Allows private function evaluation