

# BLUE WATERS

SUSTAINED PETASCALE COMPUTING

## Parallel Computing 2020: Preparing for the Post-Moore Era

Marc Snir



GREAT LAKES CONSORTIUM  
FOR PETASCALE COMPUTATION

# THE (CMOS) WORLD IS ENDING NEXT DECADE

So says the International Technology Roadmap  
for Semiconductors (ITRS)

## End of CMOS?

**IN THE LONG TERM (~2017 THROUGH 2024)**

***While power consumption is an urgent challenge, its leakage or static component will become a major industry crisis in the long term, threatening the survival of CMOS technology itself, just as bipolar technology was threatened and eventually disposed of decades ago. [ITRS 2009/2010]***

- Unlike the situation at the end of the bipolar era, no technology is waiting in the wings.

## Technology Barriers

- New materials
  - .. such as III-V or germanium thin channels on silicon, or even semiconductor nanowires, carbon nanotubes, graphene or others may be needed.
- New structures
  - three-dimensional architecture, such as vertically stackable cell arrays in monolithic integration, with acceptable yield and performance.
- ...These are huge industry challenges to simply imagine and define
  
- **Note:** Predicted feature size in 2024 (7.5 nm) = ~32 silicon atoms (Si-Si lattice distance is 0.235 nm)

## Economic Barriers

- ROI challenges
  - *... achieving constant/improved ratio of ... cost to throughput might be an insoluble dilemma.*
- Rock's Law: Cost of semiconductor chip fabrication plant doubles every four years
  - Current cost is \$7-\$9B
  - Intel's yearly revenue is \$35B
  - Semiconductor industry grows < 20% annually
  - Opportunities for consolidation are limited
- Will take longer to amortize future technology investments
  - *Progress stops when manufacturing a twice as dense chip is twice as expensive*

## Scaling is Plateauing

- Simple scaling (proportional decrease in all parameters) has ended years ago
- Single thread performance is not improving
- Rate of increase in chip density is slowing down in the next few years, for technological and economic reasons
- *Silicon will plateau at x10-x100 current performance*
  - No alternative technology is ready for prime time

## IT as Scaling Slows

- End of Moore's Law is not the end of the Computer Industry
  - It needs not be the end of IT growth
- Mass market (mobile, home): Increasing emphasis on function (or fashion)
- Big iron: Increasing emphasis on *compute efficiency*: Get more results from a given energy and transistor budget.

## Compute Efficiency

- Progressively more efficient use of a fixed set of resources (similar to fuel efficiency)
    - More computations per joule
    - More computations per transistor
  - A clear understanding of where performance is wasted and continuous progress to reduce “waste”
    - A clear distinction between “overheads” – *computational friction* -- and the essential work
- (We are still very far from any fundamental limit)



## HPC – The Canary in the Mine

- HPC is already heavily constrained by low compute efficiency
  - High power consumption, high levels of parallelism
- *Exascale research is not only research for the next turn of the crank in supercomputing, but research on how to sustain performance growth in face of semiconductor technology slow-down*
  - Essential for continued progress in science, national competitiveness and national security

# PETASCALE IN A YEAR

**Blue Waters**

## Blue Waters

- **System Attribute**

## Blue Waters

• Vendor	IBM
• Processor	IBM Power7
• Peak Performance (PF)	~10
• Sustained Performance (PF)	~1
• Number of Cores/Chip	8
• Number of Cores	>300,000
• Amount of Memory (PB)	~1
• Amount of Disk Storage (PB)	~18
• Amount of Archival Storage (PB)	>500
• External Bandwidth (Gbps)	100-400
• Water Cooled	>10 MW

## Exascale in 2015 with 20MW [Kogge's Report]

- “Aggressive scaling of Blue Gene Technology” (32nm)
  - 67 MW
  - 223K nodes, 160M cores
  - 3.6 PB memory (1 Byte/1000 flops capacity, 1 Word/50 flops bandwidth)
  - 40 mins MTTI
- *A more detailed and realistic study by Kogge indicates power consumption is ~500 MW*

## Kogge -- Spectrum

- *“[A] practical exaflops-scale supercomputer ... might not be possible anytime in the foreseeable future”*
- *“Building exascale computers ... would require engineers to rethink entirely how they construct number crunchers...”*
- *“Don’t expect to see an [exascale] supercomputer any time soon. But don’t give up hope, either.”*

# Exascale Research: Some Fundamental Questions

- Power Complexity
- Communication-optimal computations
- Low entropy computations
- Jitter-resilient computation
- Steady-state computations
- Friction-less architecture
- Self-organizing computations
- Resiliency

## Power Complexity

- There is a huge gap between theories on the (quantum) physical constraints of computation and the practice of current computing devices
- Can we develop power complexity models of computations that are relevant to computer engineers?

# Communication-Efficient Algorithms: Theory

- Communication in time (registers, memory) and space (buses, links) is, by far, the major source of energy consumption
- *Need to stop counting operations and start counting communications*
- Need a theory of communication-efficient algorithms (beyond FFT and dense linear algebra)
  - Communication-efficient PDE solvers (understand relation between properties of PDE and communication needs)
- Need to measure correctly inherent communication costs at the algorithm level
  - Temporal/spatial/processor locality: second order statistics on data & control dependencies



# Communication-Efficient Computations: Practice

- Need better benchmarks to sample multivariate distributions (apply Optimal Sampling Theory?)
- Need communication-focused programming models & environments
  - User can analyze and control cost of communications incurred during program execution (volume, locality)
- Need productivity environments for performance-oriented programmers

## Low-Entropy Communication

- Communication can be much cheaper if “known in advance”
  - Memory access overheads, latency hiding, reduced arbitration cost, bulk transfers (e.g., optical switches)
  - ... Bulk mail vs. express mail
- Current HW/SW architectures take little advantage of such knowledge
  - Need architecture/software/algorithm research
- CS is lacking a good algorithmic theory of entropy
  - Need theory, benchmarks, metrics

## Jitter-Resilient Computation

- Expect increased variance in the compute speed of different components in a large machine
  - Power management
  - Error correction
  - Asynchronous system activities
  - Variance in application
- Need variance-tolerant applications
  - Bad: frequent barriers, frequent reductions
  - Good: 2-phase collectives, double-buffering
- Need theory and metrics
- Need new variance-tolerant algorithms
- Need automatic transformations for increased variance tolerance

## Steady-State Computation

- Each subsystem of a large system (CPU, memory, interconnect, disk) has low average utilization during a long computation
- Each subsystem is the performance bottleneck during part of the computation
- *Utilization is not steady-state – hence need to over-provision each subsystem.*
- Proposed solution A: power management, to reduce subsystem consumption when not on critical path
  - Hard (in theory and in practice)
- Proposed solution B: Techniques for steady-state computation
  - E.g., communication/computation overlap
- Need research in Software (programming models, compilers, run-time), and architecture

## Friction-less Software Layering

- Current HW/SW architectures have developed multiple, rigid levels of abstraction (ISA, VM, APIs, languages...)
  - Facilitates SW development but energy is lost at layer matching
- Flexible specialization enables to regain lost performance
  - Inlining, on-line compilation, code morphing
  - Similar techniques are needed for OS layers

## Self-Organizing Computations

- Hardware continuously changes (failures, power management)
- Algorithms have more dynamic behavior (multigrid, multiscale – adapt to evolution of simulated system)
- *Mapping of computation to HW needs to be continuously adjusted*
- Too hard to do in a centralized manner -> Need distributed, hill climbing algorithms

## Resiliency

- HW for fault correction (and possibly fault detection) may be too expensive (consumes too much power)
  - and is source of jitter
- Current global checkpoint/restart algorithms cannot cope with MTBF of few hours or less
- Need SW (language, compiler, runtime) support for error compartmentalization
  - Catch error before it propagates
- May need fault-tolerant algorithms
  - Need new complexity theory

## Summary

- The end of Moore's era will change in fundamental ways the IT industry and CS research
  - A much stronger emphasis on compute efficiency
  - A more systematic and rigorous study of sources of inefficiencies
- The quest for exascale at reasonable power budget is the first move into this new domain



# BLUE WATERS

SUSTAINED PETASCALE COMPUTING



GREAT LAKES CONSORTIUM  
FOR PETASCALE COMPUTING

