Accessing Data while Preserving Privacy

#### Kobbi Nissim

#### Georgetown University and CRCS@Harvard

Based on joint work with Georgios Kellaris (Harvard and Boston University), George Kollios (Boston University) and Adam O'Neill (Georgetown University)

> DIMACS Workshop on Outsourcing Computation Securely July 6 – 7, 2017

### Outsourced database systems

I need all records of clients named "Gina"

... clients whose age is between 32 and 52

... clients with Sex = M

... clients with Sex = M and Married = F

Point query

Range query

1-way attribute query

2-way attribute query

ſ
G
•

Name	ZIP	Sex	Age	Balance
George	52525	Μ	32	20,012
Gina	02138	F	30	80,003
:	:	:	:	:
Greg	02246	F	28	20,500

Search keys

#### Outsourced database systems





## Outsourced database systems





\* In this talk we only consider privacy (not correctness)

### We have the power

Great! Can we use SFE [Yao '82, GMW '84], ORAM [Gol '87, GO '96], FHE [Gen 09], computational PIR [KO 97], searchable encryption [Song, Wagner, Perrig '01], ...



#### This is the real world

Great! We can use SFE [Yao '82, GMW '84], ORAM [Gol '87, GO '96], FHE [Gen 09], computational PIR [KO 97], searchable encryption [Song, Wagner, Perrig '01], ...

I'm convinced

\* Kobbi's plea: Let's call these *encodings* instead of encryptions

We should use a system that is secure and practical!

Hell, no!

I will use order preserving and deterministic encryption\* schemes

### This is the real world

- Implemented systems use relaxed notions of encryption
  - Allows use of existing database indexing mechanisms → efficient querying
- Examples: CryptDB [PRZB'11], Cipherbase [ABEKKRV'13], ...
- Security/privacy not well understood
- Attacks exist:
  - Utilizing leaked access pattern and auxiliary info about data: [Hore, Mehrotra, Canim, Kantarcioglu '12] [Islam, Kuzu, and Kantarcioglu '12], [Islam, Kuzu, Kantarcioglu '14], [Naveed, Kamara, Wright '15]
  - Utilizing leaked access pattern: [Dautrich, Ravishankar '13], [KKNO '16]

## Is this just fantasy?

Great! We canuse SFE [Yao '82, GMW '84], ORAM [Gol '87, GO '96], FHE [Gen 09], computational PIR [KO 97], searchable encryption [Song, Wagner, Perrig '01], ...



We will protect not only the access pattern, but all aspects of the computation!



- Find # queries (out of  $\binom{T}{2} + T$ ) that return i records
  - Can be well estimated given O(T<sup>4</sup>) queries

![](_page_9_Figure_4.jpeg)

# records	# queries
4	
3	
2	
1	
0	

- Find # queries (out of  $\binom{T}{2} + T$ ) that return i records
  - Can be well estimated given O(T<sup>4</sup>) queries

![](_page_10_Figure_4.jpeg)

# records	# queries
4	2
3	
2	
1	
0	

- Find # queries (out of  $\binom{T}{2} + T$ ) that return i records
  - Can be well estimated given O(T<sup>4</sup>) queries

![](_page_11_Figure_4.jpeg)

# records	# queries
4	2
3	
2	
1	
0	

- Find # queries (out of  $\binom{T}{2} + T$ ) that return i records
  - Can be well estimated given O(T<sup>4</sup>) queries

![](_page_12_Figure_4.jpeg)

# records	# queries
4	2
3	
2	
1	
0	

- Find # queries (out of  $\binom{T}{2} + T$ ) that return i records
  - Can be well estimated given O(T<sup>4</sup>) queries

![](_page_13_Figure_4.jpeg)

# records	# queries
4	2
3	
2	
1	
0	

- Find # queries (out of  $\binom{T}{2} + T$ ) that return i records
  - Can be well estimated given O(T<sup>4</sup>) queries

![](_page_14_Figure_4.jpeg)

# records	# queries
4	2
3	4
2	11
1	14
0	5

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

# records	ds # queries	
4	2	
3	4	
2	11	
1	14	
0	5	

**Recovering positions:** 

• We get:  $r_0 \cdot r_4 = f_4$  $r_0 \cdot r_3 + r_1 \cdot r_4 = f_3$  $r_0 \cdot r_2 + r_1 \cdot r_3 + r_2 \cdot r_4 = f_2$  $r_0 \cdot r_1 + r_1 \cdot r_2 + r_2 \cdot r_3 + r_3 \cdot r_4 = f_1$ 

• Let 
$$r_0^2 + r_1^2 + r_2^2 + r_3^2 + r_4^2 = 2c_0 + T + 1 = f_0$$

• Note:  $r(x) r^{R}(x) = f_{4} + f_{3}x + f_{2}x^{2} + f_{1}x^{3} + f_{0}x^{4} + f_{1}x^{5} + f_{2}x^{6} + f_{3}x^{7} + f_{4}x^{8} = F(X)$ 

![](_page_16_Figure_5.jpeg)

# queries # records  $f_4$ 2 4  $f_3$ 3 4  $f_2$ 11 2  $f_1$ 14 1 0 5  $C_0$ 

• Define:  $r(x) = r_0 + r_1 x + r_2 x^2 + r_3 x^3 + r_4 x^4$  $r^R(x) = r_4 + r_3 x + r_2 x^2 + r_1 x^3 + r_0 x^4$ 

**Recovering positions:** 

• We defined:  $r(x) = r_0 + r_1 x + r_2 x^2 + r_3 x^3 + r_4 x^4$  $r^R(x) = r_4 + r_3 x + r_2 x^2 + r_1 x^3 + r_0 x^4$ 

and  $r(x) r^{R}(x) = f_{4} + f_{3}x + f_{2}x^{2} + f_{1}x^{3} + f_{0}x^{4} + f_{1}x^{5} + f_{2}x^{6} + f_{3}x^{7} + f_{4}x^{8} = F(X)$ 

- Factoring F(x) (over integers) can be done in polynomial time [Berlekamp 67]
  - If the factors are two irreducible polynomials, we found r(x),  $r^{R}(x)$

![](_page_17_Figure_6.jpeg)

# records	# queries	
4	2	
3	4	
2	11	
1	14	
0	5	

# A more efficient heuristic

- Factorization may be slow for a large number of records
- Equations:  $r_0 \cdot r_4 = f_4$  $r_0 \cdot r_3 + r_1 \cdot r_4 = f_3$  $r_0 \cdot r_2 + r_1 \cdot r_3 + r_2 \cdot r_4 = f_2$  $r_0 \cdot r_1 + r_1 \cdot r_2 + r_2 \cdot r_3 + r_3 \cdot r_4 = f_1$
- Heuristic algorithm: DFS search for a solution
  - For m < n/2:
    - For all integers  $r_m$  and  $r_{n-m}$  that satisfy the equation, find all feasible  $r_{m+1}$  and  $r_{n-m-1}$
  - Otherwise:
    - Prune the combinations that do not satisfy the equation

# Is the reconstruction unique? Factors of *F(x)*

- Not necessarily!
  - $r(x)=(x+2)(x+3) = x^2+5x+6$ ;  $r^R(x)=(2x+1)(3x+1) = 6x^2+5x+1$
  - $F(x)=(x+2)(x+3)(2x+1)(3x+1) = 6x^4+35x^3+62x^2+35x+6$
  - F(x) can also be factored as  $r(x)=(x+2)(3x+1) = 3x^2+7x+2 ; r^R(x)=(2x+1)(x+3) = 2x^2+7x+3$

# Experiments

- 2 HCUP Nationwide Inpatient Sample datasets
- ~1,500 Hospitals, each having ~6,000 patient records
- Indexed attributes: length of stay (T=365) and age (T=27)
- Simulation
  - Reconstruction always successful (up to mirroring)
  - Speed after retrieving T<sup>4</sup> queries: 40ms on average (max: 3.5 sec)
- Real system
  - CryptDB
    - mySQL server
    - Client
    - Packet sniffer
  - Total attack time for age attribute: 15 hours
- Demonstrates an overlooked weakness that needs to be investigated

# What went wrong?

- Observation: "It is clear that if the computed function leaks information on the parties' private inputs, any protocol realizing it, no matter how secure, will also leak this information." [BMNW '07]
  - In our case: Exact #records leaks significant information
- Sounds familiar?
  - Observation partly motivated research into (differential) privacy
- Can differential privacy help?

# DP Storage

#### General construction:

- Use ORAM, inflate communication to preserve privacy
- DP storage given a DP-sanitized version of the data
- Can do updates

#### • Atomic model:

- Multiple copies of same encrypted record
  - Only require semantic security
- DP storage for point queries, range queries
- In both no/limited protection for queries

Access pattern leakage is not always a problem!

## Differential privacy [Dwork McSherry N Smith 06]

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Differential privacy [Dwork McSherry N Smith 06]

A (randomized) algorithm  $M: X^n \to T$  satisfies  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -differential privacy if  $\forall x, x' \in X^n$  that differ on one entry,  $\forall S$  subset of the outcome space T,

$$\Pr_{\mathcal{M}}[M(x) \in S] \le e^{\epsilon} \Pr_{\mathcal{M}}[M(x') \in S] + \delta$$

#### Prevents reconstruction (and more)

# Data sanitization [BLR'08]

• Q: A collection of statistical queries

• Sanitization:

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

• [BLR 08]:  $\alpha \approx (VC(Q) \log |X|)^{1/3} n^{2/3}$ 

# Data sanitization of specific query classes

• Point queries:	Pure DP	Approx. DP
<ul> <li>Index: element of [1, T]</li> <li>Query: a ∈ [1, T]; answer: # records with index = a</li> </ul>	O(log T)	O(1) [BNS'13]
<ul> <li>Range queries:</li> <li>Index: element of [1, T]</li> <li>Query: [a, b] ⊆ [1, T]; answer: # records with index ∈ [a, b]</li> </ul>	O(log T) [BLR'08, DNPR'10, CSS'10	O(2 <sup>log* T</sup> ) [BNS'13, BNSV'15]
<ul> <li>1-way attribute queries:</li> <li>Index: element of {0, 1}<sup>k</sup></li> <li>Query: i ∈ [1, k]; answer: # records with i<sup>th</sup> bit of index = 1</li> </ul>	DNRR'15]	
	O(k)	O(k <sup>1/2</sup> )

# DP Storage : a generic construction

- Idea: combination of a DP sanitizer for the query class and ORAM
- Setup:
  - Sanitizer is applied to the data to create a data structure DS, to be stored on the server
  - ORAM used to store all records (+indexing information as needed)
- Answering a query q:
  - q(DS) computed to get a number t of records to retrieve
    - t surpasses the real record number for q by at most  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$
  - ORAM used to retrieve t records
    - Including the real number of records + fake records
- Efficiency:
  - Optimally efficient for storage
  - Communication overhead =  $\alpha$

## Summary

- Need a rigorous analysis of inherent security/privacy efficiency tradeoffs for outsourced database systems
  - Optimal efficiency → reconstruction attacks (access pattern and/or communication volume) even with very limited adversaries
  - Can be mitigated by combining ORAM with differential privacy

- Question:
  - What is/are the right notion(s) of privacy we should pursue in this context?
  - Things to consider: privacy of data, privacy for inquirer