# Securing Wireless Localization: Living with Bad Guys

Zang Li, Yanyong Zhang, Wade Trappe Badri Nath



## Talk Overview

- Wireless Localization Background
- Attacks on Wireless Localization
  - Time of Flight
  - Signal Strength
  - Angle of Arrival
  - Region Inclusion
  - Hop Count
  - Neighbor Location
- Coping with Localization Threats
  - Multimodal Localization Strategies
  - Robust Statistics
- Conclusions and Future Directions



## What is Localization?

- Localization is important for facilitating location-based services
- **Goal:** Determine the location of one or more wireless devices based on some form of measurements
- Useful measurements:
  - Time of flight (TOA)
  - Time difference of flight (TdOA)
  - Energy of flight (DoA based on Signal Strength)
  - Phase of flight (AoA = Angle of arrival from fixed stations)
  - Perspective of flight (Visual Cues)
  - > Hop count to anchors: Correlated with distance
  - Neighbor Location: Find regions

Examples...



## **Use Neighbor Locations: Centroids**

#### Scenario:

- A set of anchor nodes with known locations are deployed as infrastructure for localization
- Wireless devices localize by calculating the centroid of the anchor points they hear:

$$(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \hat{\mathbf{y}}) = \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \dots + \mathbf{x}_n}{n}, \frac{\mathbf{y}_1 + \mathbf{y}_2 + \dots + \mathbf{y}_n}{n}\right)$$

Refine by averaging the values of the other nodes within the signal range





# Time of Flight (S=R) Localization





## Signal Strength

Underlying Principle: Signal strength (RSSI) is a function of distance

Free Space Propagation Model

$$P_{\rm r} = P_{\rm t} \left[ \frac{\sqrt{G_1} \lambda}{4\pi d} \right]^2$$

Two-Path (Single Ground Reflection Model)

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{r}} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{t}} \left[ \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{G}_{1}} \mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\mathbf{d}^{2}} \right]^{2}$$

Generalized Path Loss Model

$$P_{r} = P_{t} K \left[ \frac{d_{0}}{d} \right]^{\gamma}$$

Use known landmark locations and RSSI-Distance relationship to setup a least squares problem



# Angle of Arrival Localization

- One can determine an orientation w.r.t a reference direction
- Angle of Arrival (AoA) from two different points and their distances
- You can locate a point on a circle. Similar AoA from another point gives you three points. Then triangulate to get a position



#### a/sinA=b/sinB=c/sinC



\*Ad Hoc Positioning System (APS) Using AOA", D. Niculescu and B. Nath, Infocom 2003

## AoA capable nodes

### Cricket Compass (MIT Mobicom 2000)

- Uses 5 ultra sound receivers
- ▶ 0.8 cm each
- > A few centimeters across
- Uses tdoa (time difference of arrival)
- ≻ +/- 10% accuracy
- Medusa sensor node (UCLA node)
  - Mani Srivatsava et.al
- Antenna Arrays



## **AoA Using Visual Cues**

- Color cylinder
- Determine proportion of colors



Taking the ratios A/D and A/B and solving for theta

$$\sin\theta = (A+B-D)/(A+B+D)$$
  

$$\cos\theta = (A-B+D)/(A+B+D)$$
  

$$\theta = \arctan((A+B-D)/(A-B+D))$$



"Mobile robot localization by remote viewing of color cylinder", Volpe et al In IROS Aug 1995

## Attacks on Localization

- Most security and privacy issues for wireless networks are best addressed through cryptography and network security
- **End of Day Analysis:** Not all security issues can be addressed by cryptography!
- Non-cryptographic attacks on wireless localization:
  - > Adversaries may affect the measurements used to conduct localization
  - > Adversaries may physically pick up and move devices
  - Adversaries may alter the physical medium (adjust propagation speed, introduce smoke, etc.)
  - Many, many more crazy attacks...
- New Field: Securing Wireless Localization
  - Secure Verification of Location Claims," Sastry and Wagner
  - "Secure Positioning in Sensor Networks," S. Capkun and J.P. Hubaux
  - "SeRLoc: Secure range-independent localization for wireless networks," L. Lazos and R. Poovendran
  - "Securing Wireless Localization: Living with Bad Guys," Z. Li, Y. Zhang, W. Trappe and B. Nath (expanded version under submission)



## **Possible Attacks vs. Localization Algorithms**

Property	Example Algorithms	Attack Threats
Time of Flight	Cricket	Remove direct path and force radio transmission to employ a multipath;
		Delay transmission of a response message;
		Exploit difference in propagation speeds (speedup attack, transmission through a different medium).
Signal Strength	RADAR, SpotON, Nibble	Remove direct path and force radio transmission to employ a multipath;
		Introduce different microwave or acoustic propagation loss model;
		> Transmit at a different power than specified by protocol;
		Locally elevate ambient channel noise
Region Inclusion	APIT, SerLoc	Enlarge neighborhood by wormholes;
		Manipulate the one-hop distance measurements;
		Alter neighborhood by jamming along certain directions

WIRELESS INFORMATION METWORK LABORATORY

Property	Example Algorithms	Attack Threats
Angle of Arrival	APS	<ul> <li>Remove direct path and force radio transmission to employ a multipath;</li> <li>Change the signal arrival angel by using reflective objects, e.g., mirrors;</li> <li>Alter clockwise/counter-clockwise orientation of receiver (up-down attack)</li> </ul>
Hop Count	DV-Hop	<ul> <li>Shorten the routing path between two nodes through wormholes;</li> <li>Lengthen the routing path between two nodes by jamming;</li> <li>Alter the hop count by manipulating the radio range;</li> <li>Vary per-hop distance by physically removing/displacing nodes</li> </ul>
Neighbor Location	Centroid, SerLoc	<ul> <li>Shrink radio region (jamming); Enlarge radio region (transmit at higher power, wormhole);</li> <li>Replay; Modify the message; Physically move locators;</li> <li>Change antenna receive pattern</li> </ul>



## Signal Strength Attack on Localization

- Signal strength wireless localization are susceptible to power-distance uncertainty relationships
- Adversary may:
  - > Alter transmit power of nodes
  - Remove direct path by introducing obstacles
  - Introduce absorbing or attenuating material
  - Introduce ambient channel noise





### **Attacks on Hop-Count Methods**

- DV-hop localization algorithm:
- Obtain the hop counts between a sensor node and several locators.
- Translate hop counts to actual distance.
- Localize using triangulation.



It is critical to obtain the correct hop counts between sensor nodes and every locator.



### Attacks on Hop-Count Methods, pg. 2









## **Defenses for Wireless Localization**

#### Multimodal Localization:

- > Most localization techniques employ a single property
- Adversary only has to attack one-dimension!!!
- Defense Strategy: Make the adversary have to attack several properties simultaneously
- Example: Do signal strength measurements correspond to TOF measurements?

#### Robust Statistical Methods:

- > **Defense Strategy:** Ignore the wrong values introduced by adversaries
- Develop robust statistical estimation algorithms and data cleansing methods
- > Interesting behavior: Its best for the adversary not to be too aggressive!



# **Multimodal Techniques**

- Multimodal localization strategies: exploiting several properties simultaneously to corroborate each other and improve robustness
- Example: Centroid
  - > Attacks: generally involve modifying neighboring list
  - Defense: use both neighbor location and a two-sector antenna on each sensor

Range of Yo





## Multimodal Technique

- Only the neighbors that are closest to the sensor in the xcoordinate or y-coordinate will affect the estimation
- Robust to wrong neighbor information
- Neighbor coordinates rule: the neighbors in the upper sector have larger Y coordinates than the neighbors in lower sector
  - Ensure correct orientation
  - Detect existence of attacks





### **Robust: Localization with Anchor Nodes**

- Anchor nodes have their positions  $\{(x, y)\}$  known
- Distances to anchor nodes *d* are estimated through DV-hop or signal strength or other distance estimation methods
- {(x, y, d)} values map out a parabolic surface d(x, y) whose minimum value ( $x_0, y_0$ ) is the wireless device location
- Least squares (LS) algorithm can be used to find  $(\hat{x}_0, \hat{y}_0)$

$$(\hat{x}_0, \hat{y}_0) = \arg\min_{(x_0, y_0)} \sum_{i=1}^N (\sqrt{(x_i - x_0)^2 + (y_i - y_0)^2} - d_i)^2$$



## What if Attacks Exist?

- Adversary can alter the distance measurement through wormholes or jamming attacks
- One significant deviation of distance measurement may drive the location estimation far from the true value
- The fundamental reason for this vulnerability to attacks is that

### Least squares algorithm is not robust to outliers!

- The misinformation produced by the adversary are outliers in the location estimation problem
- Redundancy within network can be exploited to combat attacks



### **Robust Statistics**

Least median squares (LMS) algorithm

$$(\hat{x}_0, \hat{y}_0) = \arg\min_{(x_0, y_0)} \operatorname{med}(\sqrt{(x_i - x_0)^2 + (y_i - y_0)^2} - d_i)^2$$

- Proposed by Rousseeuw
- With a robust cost function, a small fraction of outliers won't affect the cost function significantly
- In the absence of noise, LMS algorithm can tolerate up to 50 percent outliers
- Exact calculation of LMS solution is computational expensive



### Least Median Squares Algorithm

- Solve random subsets of  $\{(x_i, y_i, d_i)\}$  values to get several candidate  $(\hat{x}_0, \hat{y}_0)$
- Choose the candidate with the least median residue squares
- Identify the inliers and outliers according to the least median squares subset estimate

$$s_0 = 1.4826 (1 + \frac{5}{N - p}) \sqrt{\text{med } r_i^2}$$
  $w_i = \begin{cases} 1, |r_i/s_0| > \gamma \\ 0, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$ 

Do a reweighted least squares algorithm to get the final estimate  $(\hat{x}_0, \hat{y}_0)$ 



### **Robust Localization with LMS**

- How to choose M, the number of subsets and n, the size of a subset?
  - Hopefully, at least one subset among all subsets does not contain any contaminated sample





## Robust Localization with LMS (ctd.)

- How to estimate the location from the samples with reduced computation?
  - Linearization: suboptimal, but less complexity





### Attack Model

- The adversary successfully gains the power to arbitrarily modify the distance measurements to a fraction ɛ of the total anchor nodes
- **The contamination ratio**  $\varepsilon \le 0.5$
- The adversary coordinates the tampering of measurements so that they will push the estimate toward the same wrong location  $(x_a, y_a)$
- d<sub>a</sub>, distance between  $(x_a, y_a)$  and  $(x_0, y_0)$ , is used to indicate the strength of the attack



### Performance of the LMS Algorithm



MSE of LS algorithm increases as d<sub>a</sub> increases

MSE of LMS algorithm does not increase unboundedly with d<sub>a</sub>



### Performance of the LMS Algorithm (ctd.)



- The larger contamination ratio, the worse the performance
- The larger the measurement noise level, the worse the performance



### When to Use LMS?

At small d<sub>a</sub>, LS performs better than LMS at a lower computational cost



(Conceptual Figures)



# When to Use LMS? (ctd.)

- Observation: the variance of the data with outliers is larger than that of the data without outliers
- Variance expansion indicates the attacking strength
- Estimate the variance in data using LS

$$\hat{\sigma}_{n} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum r_{i}^{2}}{N-2}}$$

- Assume the actual measurement noise level  $\sigma_n$  is known
- Use LMS only when

$$\frac{\hat{\sigma_n}}{\sigma_n} > T$$



### Performance of Joint LS and LMS Algorithm

Empirically, T = 1.5 is a good choice across all  $(\varepsilon, \sigma_n)$  pairs



This improvement is achieved and we save computational complexity!!!



## **Conclusion and Remarks**

- Wireless localization algorithms are important to future location-based services
- Several (non-cryptographic) attacks unique to wireless localization were identified
- We presented two strategies to cope with the effects of attacks on localization
  - Multimodal Localization
  - Robust Statistical Localization

