## **Removal Independence for** $\beta$ -closed Systems of Sets

Gary D. Crown<sup>\*</sup>, Melvin F. Janowitz<sup>†</sup>, Robert C. Powers<sup>‡</sup>

Key words : Consensus theory, set systems, hierarchiscal trees, weak hierarchies

We begin with some needed terminology and notation. Let  $\beta > 1$  be an integer and E a finite set with  $5 \le \beta + 3 \le |E|$ . A  $\beta$ -closed system of sets on E is a collection H of subsets of E (commonly called *clusters*) having the property that

 $A \in H$  implies  $|A| \ge \beta$ ;  $A, B \in H$  with  $|A \cap B| \ge \beta \Longrightarrow A \cap B \in H$ ;  $E \in H$ .

We let  $E_{\beta}$  denote the subsets of E with cardinality  $\beta$ . For  $A \subset E$  with  $|A| \geq \beta$ , we define  $H_A = \{A, E\}$ , with  $H_0 = \{E\}$ . We define the removal restriction of H with respect to A by  $H|_A = \{X \cap A : X \in H, \beta \leq |X \cap A| < |A|\}$ . We shall be working in a collection  $\mathcal{W}$  of  $\beta$ -closed systems of E having the property that  $H \in \mathcal{W}$  implies that  $H_A \in \mathcal{W}$  and  $H|_A \in \mathcal{W}$  whenever  $|A| \geq \beta$ .

There are many examples of  $\beta$ -closed systems of sets on E. The non-singleton clusters of any hierarchical tree form a 2-system, as do the non-singleton clusters of any closed weak hierarchy. Somewhat trivial examples are constructed by looking at  $E_{\beta} \cup \{E\}$  or the collection of all subsets of E having cardinality at least  $\beta$ . The notion of a closed weak hierarchy may be generalized to values of  $\beta \neq 2$ , by looking at a  $\beta$ -closed system H having the property that the intersection of any  $(\beta + 1)$ -clusters of H is also the intersection of a  $\beta$ -member subfamily. The closed weak hierarchies are then the special case where  $\beta = 2$ . Weak orders arise when  $\beta = 1$ . Details of these and other examples may be found

<sup>\*</sup>Wichita, KS, USA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>DIMACS, Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ 08854-8018, USA. melj@dimax.rutgers.edu <sup>‡</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY 40292.

rcpowe01@louisville.edu

in a paper by Crown and Janowitz (An Injective Set Representation of Closed Systems of Sets, DIMACS Series in Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science **63**, 2003, pp. 67-79).

Let n > 1 be an integer, and  $N = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . A consensus function is then a mapping  $C : W^n \to W$ . A profile is an n-tuple  $P = (H_1, H_2, ..., H_n)$  of members of W. It will be convenient to introduce some special profiles. We let  $P_0$  denote the profile having  $H_0$  for each of its components, and for  $|A| \ge \beta$  and  $J \subseteq N$ , let  $P_{A;J}$  be defined by  $P(j) = H_A$  for  $j \in J$ , and  $H_0$  otherwise. We agree to call C nontrivial if there is a profile P such that  $C(P) \ne H_0$ . We say that it is (removal)-independent if

$$P|_{A} = P'|_{A} \Longrightarrow C(P)|_{A} = C(P')|_{A}.$$
(1)

Our discussion will make strong use of a commonly used set representation for a  $\beta$ -closed system of sets H. We define

$$\gamma_{\beta}(H) = \{(B,c) \colon B \in E_{\beta}, \text{ and for some } X \in H, B \subseteq X \text{ and } c \notin X\}$$

It is routine to observe that for  $(B, c) \in E_{\beta} \times E$ ,

$$(B,c) \notin \gamma_{\beta}(H) \iff B \subseteq X \text{ with } X \in H \implies c \in X \}.$$

This representation is extremely useful for hierarchical trees and closed weak hierarchies because in these important instances, H is completely determined by  $\gamma_2(H)$ .

Our goal is to establish the next Theorem.

**Theorem** Let C be nontrivial and independent with  $C(P_0) = H_0$ . There then exists a nonempty subset M of N such that

$$\gamma_{\beta}(C(P)) = \bigcup_{i \in M} \gamma_{\beta}(P(i)),$$

for all profiles *P*.

This generalizes a Theorem of Powers (Consensus n-trees and Removal Independence, Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society, 37, No. 3, 473-490, 2000). where it was shown that for hierarchical trees, nontrivial removal independent cluster methods are strong dictators in that there is an index *i* having the property that C(P) = P(i) for every profile *P*. We also obtain this result for closed weak hierarchies.

**Acknowledgement:** Dedicated to the memory of Gary D. Crown who passed away January 27, 2005. This paper is a continuation of joint work done with him.