

Subsums of a finite sum and extreme vertices of the hypercube

Dezső Miklós
Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics

May 1, 2006

Question 1 How to give a set of n real numbers x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n such that $\sum_{i \in B} x_i = 0$ for every subset B of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ of cardinality k or at most k , where k is a fixed integer between 1 and n ?

Question 2 Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be given numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0$. What is the maximum number of negative subsums (and the minimum number of positive subsums) of exactly k of these numbers?

Question How many vertices (of a certain proper weight) of the n -dimensional cube can be picked such that the subspace spanned by them, (or convex or the cone spanned by them) does not contain or do not contain certain configurations of the hyperplane (elements, vertices, weight(s), hyperplanes)?

Let C_n denote the set of vertices of the n dimensional hypercube. Let $M \subset C_n$ be a subset of it, $\text{span}(M)$ denote the span of the vectors in M and $\text{cone}(M)$ denote the cone spanned by M .

How big M can be such that $(1, 1, \dots, 1) \notin \text{span}(M)$ or $(1, 1, \dots, 1) \notin \text{cone}(M)$?

Proposition 1 *If for an $M \subset C_n$ the size of $M > 2^{n-1}$ then $(1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \text{span}(M)$, and therefore $(1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \text{cone}(M)$.*

Proposition 2 *If for an $M \subset C_n$ the size of $M > 2^{n-1}$ then $(1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \text{cone}(M)$.*

Proposition 3 *If for an $M \subset C_n$ the size of $M > 2^{n-1}$ then $(1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \text{cone}(M)$.*

All the above bounds are sharp.

Theorem 1 *The maximum size of a subset M of the n -dimensional hypercube such that none of the vertices $(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ are in span (M) is $\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$*

Theorem 2 *(Miller et al. 1991, Griggs, 1997)*
Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be given numbers. The maximum number of subsums $\sum_{j \in B} x_j$ which can be given without the values x_i is $\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{\lceil n/2 \rceil}{i} \binom{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}{i} = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{\lceil n/2 \rceil}{i} \binom{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - i}$

Theorem 3 *Given a set of n real numbers x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , none being equal to 0, the maximum number of sums $\sum_{j \in B} x_j$ where the B 's are subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{\lceil n/2 \rceil}{i} \binom{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}{i} = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{\lceil n/2 \rceil}{i} \binom{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - i} =$$

Problem 1 (*Littlewood-Offord*) How do we select — distinct — complex numbers x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , with $|x_i| \geq 1$, such that they maximize the number of 2^n sums $\sum_{i \in I} x_i$, $I \subseteq [n]$, lying inside B ?

It was first solved by Erdős, assuming the numbers x_i are real. His argument can be used to prove Theorem 3, more precisely the following theorem:

Theorem 4 Given a set of n real numbers $x_1, x_2, \dots,$ being equal to 0, the maximum number of sums $\sum_{i \in B} x_i$ fixed t (where the B 's are subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$) is

Proof (Griggs, Erdős) Observe that replacing any of x_i not change the maximum number of subsums, only t will be changed to $t - x_i$. Therefore, we can change x_i such that finally we will have sum of positive numbers to maximize the subsums of them equal to a certain value. Surely there might be no two index sets containing each other with the same subset sum value, so - by Sperner - there are at most $\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ of them.

Summary: the maximum size of $M \subset C_n$ such that

	does not contain a specific $(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$	does not contain any $(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ '
span M	2^{n-1}	$\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$
cone M	—	—

The case when vertices of weight k are considered

Let C_n denote the vertices of the n dimensional hypercube. Let $M_k \subset C_n$ be a subset of it consisting of vertices of weight k (vertices with exactly k 1 coordinates).

How big M_k can be such that $(1, 1, \dots, 1) \notin \text{span}(M_k)$ or $\text{span}(M_k)$?

Consider all vertices of weight k with first coordinate of them is $\binom{n-1}{k}$ and their span (cone) will definitely not contain $(1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ nor $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$. However, for $(1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ other constructions as well, like fixing the first coordinate to be 1, the number of them is $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ or having either (but excluding) the first two coordinates 1, and the remaining $k-1$ 1 coordinates, the remaining $n-2$ positions (the number of them is $2 \binom{n-2}{k-2}$).

Proposition 4 *If for an $M_k \subset C_n$ the size of $M_k > \binom{n-1}{k}$ $\text{span}(M_k)$.*

Proposition 5 *If for an $M_k \subset C_n$ the size of $M_k > \max$ then $(1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \text{span}(M_k)$, and therefore $\text{span}(M_k)$*

Remark 1

$$\max\left\{\binom{n-1}{k}, \binom{n-1}{k-1}, 2\binom{n-2}{k-1}\right\} = \begin{cases} \binom{n-1}{k-1} & \text{for} \\ 2\binom{n-2}{k-1} & \text{for} \\ \binom{n-1}{k} & \text{for} \end{cases}$$

Question 3 *Is it true that for any $M_k \subset C_n$ with size $(1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \text{cone}(M_k)$?*

NOT TRUE IN GENERAL

Let $n = 3k + 1$ and consider all of those vertices of C_n which have at least one of the first three coordinates

Claim: the cone spanned by them will not contain $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$

In this case there are $\binom{3k-2}{k}$ vertices of weight k having coordinates 0, which is less than $\binom{3k}{k-1}$, and therefore $\binom{3k+1}{k} - \binom{3k-2}{k}$ vertices having at least one of the first three entries 1, which is more than $\binom{3k+1}{k} - \binom{3k}{k-1} =$

An alternative form of the same question:

Question 4 *Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be given numbers such that $x_i \geq 0$. What is maximum number of negative subsums (number of positive subsums) of exactly k of these numbers?*

Answer: Obviously at least $\binom{n-1}{k}$ shown by the example of k small (absolute value) negative numbers and one big positive number, e.g. $\{-1, -1, -1, \dots, -1, n\}$. Therefore number of positive subsums is at most $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ and in general bound is at least as big as $\binom{n-1}{k}$, but maybe bigger still.

Consider $3k + 1$ numbers: $\{2 - 3k, 2 - 3k, 3, 3, \dots, 3\}$ whose sum is 1. In this case there are $\binom{3k}{k}$ negative subsums, which is less than $\binom{3k}{k-1}$, and therefore $\binom{3k+1}{k} - \binom{3k}{k}$ positive subsums, which is more than $\binom{3k+1}{k} - \binom{3k}{k-1} = \binom{3k}{k} =$

Theorem 5 (Manickam, Miklós, 1989) Let x_1, x_2, \dots , numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i > 0$. The minimum number of exactly k of these numbers is $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ if $n > n_1(k)$ or

Remark 2 There are counterexamples for small k 's which does not divide n either.

Corollary 1 If for an $M_k \subset C_n$ the size of $|M_k| > n > n_1(k)$ or k divides n , then $(1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \text{cone}(M_k)$

Question 5 What is the maximum size of a subset M of the n -dimensional hypercube (all of weight k or w) such that none of the vertices $(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ are in $\text{span}(M_k)$?

OR

Question 6 Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be given numbers. What is the maximum number of the subsums $\sum_{i=1}^k x_{j_i}$ (of exactly k of these numbers) which can be given without the values x_i ?

OR

Question 7 Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be given numbers. What is the maximum number of the subsums $\sum_{i=1}^k x_{j_i}$ (of exactly k of these numbers) being equal to 0?

Let the answer to these 3 questions be defined by $M(n, k)$ (with vectors of weight k), and $N(n, k)$ (with vectors of weight k).

Definitely any number of the form $m_1(n_1, n_2, k_1, k_2)$ where $n_1 + n_2 = n$ and $k_1 + k_2 = k$, or

$$m_2(n, k) = \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \binom{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}{i} \binom{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}{i}.$$

is a lower bound for $N(n, k)$, and $m_1(n_1, n_2, k_1, k_2)$ is a lower bound for $M(n, k)$.

What is the maximum of $\binom{n_1}{k_1} \binom{n_2}{k_2}$?

Which is bigger, $\binom{2n}{2k} \binom{2n}{2k}$ or $\binom{3n}{3k} \binom{n}{k}$?

Theorem 6 (Demetrovics, Katona, Miklós, 2004) *Suppose $n \geq k$. The maximum size of a subset M of vertices of the n -dimensional hypercube of weight k (or of weight at most k) such that no two vertices of the form $(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ are in span M is*

$$N(n, k) = \binom{\lfloor \frac{(n+1)(k-1)}{k} \rfloor}{k-1} \binom{n - \lfloor \frac{(n+1)(k-1)}{k} \rfloor}{1}$$

which answer is the maximum of $\binom{n_1}{k_1} \binom{n_2}{k_2}$ assuming $k_1 + k_2 = k$ for fixed n and k with $n_1 = \lfloor \frac{(n+1)(k-1)}{k} \rfloor$, $k_1 = k - 1$, $k_2 = 1$, therefore also is $N(n, k)$, the case with vectors all of weight exactly k .

However, the above theorem is really only true if $n_1(n_2, k_1, k_2)$

E.g., as Theorems 1–3 shows,

$$N(n, n) = \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{\lceil n/2 \rceil}{i} \binom{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}{i} = n$$

and not $m_1(n_1, n_2, k_1, k_2)$ for certain values of the parameters.

It is expected that the same construction remains true for n much larger than k , that is (assuming for convenience)

$$N(n, k) = \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \binom{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}{i} \binom{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}{i}.$$

For example, it is known that $N(12, 6) = \binom{6}{3} \binom{6}{3} + \binom{6}{2} \binom{6}{4} = 400$, however, $N(20, 6) = M(20, 6) = \binom{17}{5} \cdot 3$, like

Summary: the maximum size of $M_k \subset C_n$ such that

	does not contain a specific $(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$	does not contain a $(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$
span M_k	$\binom{n-1}{k}^*$	$\binom{\lfloor \frac{(n+1)(k-1)}{k} \rfloor}{k-1} \left(n - \lfloor \frac{(n+1)(k-1)}{k} \rfloor \right)$
cone M_k	—	—

* provided $n > n_1(k)$.

Further questions

Find the maximum size of M such that span M avoid weight $n - 1$, or, in other words, given n real numbers find the maximum number of subset sums $\sum_{i \in B} x_i$ equal to 0, where the B 's are subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, with the condition that the sums $\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) - x_j$ are equal to 0.

In general, find the maximum number of subset sums equal to 0, for any set of n real numbers x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , with the condition that none of the sums $\sum_{j=1}^r x_{i_j}$ are equal to 0 (for a given r).

For $r = n$ the answer is 2^{n-1} by Proposition 1 and for $r = n - 1$ by Theorem 3.

For $r = n - 1$ the best construction is $\{1, 1, 0, 0, 0, \dots\}$ (in 2^{n-1} ways).

The next question is to find the maximum size of M such that M avoids all vertices of weight 2, or, given n real numbers x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n ($\neq 0$), find the maximum number of subsums $\sum_{i \in B} x_i$ that are zero, where the B 's are subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, with none of the sums equal to zero.

Problem 2 (Erdős-Moser) How do we select distinct real numbers x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n and a target sum t to maximize the number of subset sums $= t$?

that is,

Problem 3 How do we select nonzero real numbers x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n to maximize the number of subset sums $= 0$, with all $x_i \neq 0$?

Select the n distinct integers closest to 0 and the target sum is 0. This is the best construction (Stanley)

Our problem is:

Problem 4 *How do we select (nonzero?) real numbers to maximize the number of subset sums = 0, with all x_i*

(Strong) conjecture:

It will be maximized by the choice of

$$\{-1, -1, \dots, -1, 2, \dots, 2\}$$

with $2n/3$ copies of -1 and $n/3$ copies of 2 .