

A Classification of Posets admitting MacWilliams Identity

Hyun Kwang Kim and Dong Yeol Oh

Abstract—In this paper all poset structures are classified which admit the MacWilliams identity, and the MacWilliams identities for poset weight enumerators corresponding to such posets are derived. We prove that being a hierarchical poset is a necessary and sufficient condition for a poset to admit MacWilliams identity. An explicit relation is also derived between P-weight distribution of a hierarchical poset code and \bar{P} -weight distribution of the dual code.

Index Terms—MacWilliams identity, poset codes, P-weight enumerator, leveled P-weight enumerator, hierarchical poset.

I. INTRODUCTION

LET \mathbb{F}_q be the finite field with q elements and \mathbb{F}_q^n be the vector space of n -tuples over \mathbb{F}_q . Coding theory may be considered as the study of \mathbb{F}_q^n when \mathbb{F}_q^n is endowed with Hamming metric. Since the late 1980's several attempts have been made to generalize the classical problems of the coding theory by introducing a new non-Hamming metric on \mathbb{F}_q^n (cf [8 - 10]). These attempts led Brualdi et al. [1] to introduce the concept of poset codes. First, we begin by briefly introducing the basic notions of poset code such as poset-weight and poset-distance. See [1] for details.

Let \mathbb{F}_q^n be the vector space of n -tuples over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q with q elements. Let \mathbf{P} be a partial ordered set, which will be abbreviated as a poset in the sequel, on the underlying set $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ of coordinate positions of vectors in \mathbb{F}_q^n with the partial order relation denoted by \leq as usual. For $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, the support $supp(u)$ and P-weight $w_{\mathbf{P}}(u)$ of u are defined to be

$$supp(u) = \{i \mid u_i \neq 0\} \text{ and } w_{\mathbf{P}}(u) = |\langle supp(u) \rangle|,$$

where $\langle supp(u) \rangle$ is the smallest ideal (recall that a subset I of \mathbf{P} is an ideal if $a \in I$ and $b \leq a$, then $b \in I$) containing the support of u . It is well-known that for any $u, v \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, $d_{\mathbf{P}}(u, v) := w_{\mathbf{P}}(u - v)$ is a metric on \mathbb{F}_q^n . The metric $d_{\mathbf{P}}$ is called P-metric on \mathbb{F}_q^n . Let \mathbb{F}_q^n be endowed with P-metric. Then a (linear) code $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is called a (linear) P-code of length n . The P-weight enumerator of a linear P-code \mathcal{C} is defined by

$$W_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}(x) = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{C}} x^{w_{\mathbf{P}}(u)} = \sum_{i=0}^n A_{i, \mathbf{P}} x^i,$$

where $A_{i, \mathbf{P}} = |\{u \in \mathcal{C} \mid w_{\mathbf{P}}(u) = i\}|$.

This research was supported by the Com²Mac-KOSEF and POSTECH BSRI research fund.

H.K.Kim and D.Y.Oh are with the Department of Mathematics, Pohang University of Science and Technology, Pohang 790-784, Korea.

Remark : If \mathbf{P} is an antichain, then P-metric is equal to Hamming metric. So P-weight enumerator of a linear code \mathcal{C} becomes Hamming weight enumerator of \mathcal{C} .

The MacWilliams identity for linear codes over \mathbb{F}_q is one of the most important identities in the coding theory, and it expresses Hamming weight enumerator of the dual code \mathcal{C}^\perp of a linear code \mathcal{C} over \mathbb{F}_q in terms of Hamming weight enumerator of \mathcal{C} . Since Hamming metric is a special case of poset metrics, it is natural to attempt to obtain MacWilliams-type identity for certain P-weight enumerators. See [3 - 5] for this direction of researches. Essentially, what enables us to obtain MacWilliams identity for Hamming metric is that Hamming weight enumerator of the dual code \mathcal{C}^\perp is uniquely determined by that of \mathcal{C} . The following example suggests that we need some modification to generalize MacWilliams identity for certain type of poset weight enumerators.

Example 1.1: Let $\mathbf{P} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ be a poset with order relation $1 < 2 < 3$ and $\bar{\mathbf{P}} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ be a poset with order relation $1 > 2 > 3$. Consider the following binary linear P-codes:

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1)\}, \mathcal{C}_2 = \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 1, 1)\}.$$

It is easy to check that P-weight enumerators of \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 are given by

$$W_{\mathcal{C}_1, \mathbf{P}}(x) = 1 + x^3 = W_{\mathcal{C}_2, \mathbf{P}}(x).$$

The dual codes of \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 are respectively given by

$$\mathcal{C}_1^\perp = \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (1, 1, 0)\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_2^\perp = \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1), (0, 1, 1)\}.$$

The P-weight enumerators of \mathcal{C}_1^\perp and \mathcal{C}_2^\perp are given by

$$W_{\mathcal{C}_1^\perp, \mathbf{P}}(x) = 1 + x + 2x^2, \quad W_{\mathcal{C}_2^\perp, \mathbf{P}}(x) = 1 + x^2 + 2x^3,$$

while $\bar{\mathbf{P}}$ -weight enumerators of \mathcal{C}_1^\perp and \mathcal{C}_2^\perp are given by

$$W_{\mathcal{C}_1^\perp, \bar{\mathbf{P}}}(x) = 1 + x^2 + 2x^3 = W_{\mathcal{C}_2^\perp, \bar{\mathbf{P}}}(x).$$

As it is seen above, although P-weight enumerators of the codes \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 are the same, P-weight of the dual codes may be different. Fortunately, however, $\bar{\mathbf{P}}$ -weight enumerators of the dual codes are the same.

Feeding back this information we define, for a given poset \mathbf{P} , the poset $\bar{\mathbf{P}}$ as follows:

\mathbf{P} and $\bar{\mathbf{P}}$ have the same underlying set and

$$x \leq y \text{ in } \bar{\mathbf{P}} \Leftrightarrow y \leq x \text{ in } \mathbf{P}.$$

The poset $\bar{\mathbf{P}}$ is called the dual poset of \mathbf{P} .

Definition 1.2: Let \mathbf{P} be a poset on $[n]$. It is said that \mathbf{P} admits MacWilliams identity if $\bar{\mathbf{P}}$ -weight enumerator of the dual code \mathcal{C}^\perp of a linear code \mathcal{C} over \mathbb{F}_q is uniquely determined by P-weight enumerator of \mathcal{C} .

For an illustration of our definition, we give two classes of posets which admit MacWilliams identity.

In [11], Rosenbloom and Tsfasman introduced a new non-Hamming metric which is called the ρ -metric or the Rosenbloom-Tsfasman metric on linear spaces over finite fields. The ρ -metric is defined on the linear space $Mat_{m,n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, where $Mat_{m,n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is the set of all matrices with m -rows and n -columns over \mathbb{F}_q . For the sake of simplicity, we introduce it only in the case $m = 1$ and refer to [2], [12] for a general treatment. We remark that ρ -metric can be realized as a poset metric over the disjoint union of chains.

Now let $m = 1$. For $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, we set $\rho(0) = 0$ and $\rho(u) = \max\{i \mid u_i \neq 0\}$ for $u \neq 0$. For a given linear code $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$, we define the ρ -weight enumerator for \mathcal{C} by

$$W(\mathcal{C}|z) = \sum_{i=0}^n w_i(\mathcal{C})z^i = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{C}} z^{\rho(u)},$$

where $w_i(\mathcal{C}) = |\{u \in \mathcal{C} \mid \rho(u) = i\}|$, $0 \leq i \leq n$.

The following identity was obtained in [12, Theorem 4.4]:

$$(qz - 1)W(\mathcal{C}^{\perp}|z) + 1 - z = |\mathcal{C}^{\perp}|z^{n+1}[q(1 - z)W(\mathcal{C}|\frac{1}{qz}) + qz - 1], \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{C}^{\perp} = \{v \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid \langle u, v \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{C}\}$, and $\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i v_{n+1-i}$.

If we put $\mathbf{P} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with order relation $1 < 2 < \dots < n$, then ρ -metric becomes \mathbf{P} -metric and $W(\mathcal{C}^{\perp}|z) = W_{\mathcal{C}^{\perp}, \mathbf{P}}(z)$.

The MacWilliams identity for Hamming weight enumerators and the work of Skriyanov [12, Theorem 4.4] state that antichain and chain on $[n]$, $n \geq 1$, admit MacWilliams identity.

In this paper, we classify all poset structures which admit MacWilliams identity. We also derive MacWilliams identities for poset weight enumerators corresponding to such poset codes.

Section 2 gives a necessary condition for a poset \mathbf{P} to admit MacWilliams identity. It will be proved that being a hierarchical poset is a necessary condition for a poset \mathbf{P} to admit MacWilliams identity.

In section 3, MacWilliams identity for a hierarchical poset code is derived, and it will be proved that our necessary condition in Section 2 is also a sufficient condition for admitting MacWilliams identity.

Section 4 examines the relationship between $\{A_{i, \mathbf{P}}\}_{i=0, \dots, n}$ and $\{A'_{i, \mathbf{P}}\}_{i=0, \dots, n}$. More precisely, we will express explicitly $A'_{i, \mathbf{P}}$ in terms of $A_{j, \mathbf{P}}$, $0 \leq j \leq n$, using Krawtchouk polynomials.

II. NECESSARY CONDITION FOR ADMITTING MACWILLIAMS IDENTITY

In this section, we will give a necessary condition for a poset \mathbf{P} to admit MacWilliams identity. First, a hierarchical poset as the ordinal sum of antichains is introduced, and it will be proved that being a hierarchical poset is a necessary condition for a poset \mathbf{P} to admit MacWilliams identity.

Let n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t be positive integers with $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_t = n$. We define the poset $\mathbb{H}(n; n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t)$ on the set

$\{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq t, 1 \leq j \leq n_i\}$ whose order relation is given by

$$(i, j) < (l, m) \Leftrightarrow i < l.$$

The poset $\mathbb{H}(n; n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t)$ is called a hierarchical poset with t -levels and n -elements. For each $1 \leq i \leq t$, the subset $\{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq j \leq n_i\}$ of $\mathbb{H}(n; n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t)$ is called i^{th} -level set of $\mathbb{H}(n; n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t)$, and it is denoted by $\Gamma^i(\mathbb{H})$. Note that $\Gamma^i(\mathbb{H})$ is an antichain with cardinality n_i .

Let $\mathbb{H}(n; n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t)$ be a hierarchical poset with t -levels and n -elements. From now on, we will identify the underlying set of $\mathbb{H}(n; n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t)$ with the coordinate positions of vectors in \mathbb{F}_q^n by identifying the subset $\{n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_{i-1} + 1, \dots, n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_{i-1} + n_i\}$ of $[n]$ with the i^{th} level set $\Gamma^i(\mathbb{H})$ in an obvious way. By convention we set $n_0 = 0$.

For a poset \mathbf{P} , we define $\min(\mathbf{P}) = \{i \in \mathbf{P} \mid i \text{ is minimal in } \mathbf{P}\}$. The following lemma is an immediate consequence of the concepts developed so far and will be useful in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1: Let \mathbf{P} be a poset on $[n]$ and $\bar{\mathbf{P}}$ be the dual poset of \mathbf{P} . For $u \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, we have

$$w_{\bar{\mathbf{P}}}(u) = n \Leftrightarrow \text{supp}(u) \supseteq \min(\mathbf{P}).$$

For a given poset \mathbf{P} , we put $\mathbf{P}' = \mathbf{P} \setminus \min(\mathbf{P})$. Then \mathbf{P}' is also a poset under the partial order relation induced from that of \mathbf{P} .

Lemma 2.2: Let \mathbf{P} be a poset of cardinality n . Suppose that $\min(\mathbf{P})$ has n_1 elements. Then, for each vector $u \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ satisfying $\text{supp}(u) \subseteq \min(\mathbf{P})$,

$$q^{n-n_1} \mid |\{v \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid u \cdot v = 0 \text{ and } w_{\bar{\mathbf{P}}}(v) = n\}|,$$

where $a|b$ denotes that a divides b .

Proof: Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\min(\mathbf{P}) = \{1, 2, \dots, n_1\}$. Since $\text{supp}(u) \subseteq \min(\mathbf{P})$, u can be written in the form $u = (a_1, \dots, a_i, 0, \dots, 0)$, where $0 \neq a_j \in \mathbb{F}_q$ for all $1 \leq j \leq i$ and $i \leq n_1$. Let A be the set of vectors over \mathbb{F}_q of length i defined by

$$A := \{(b_1, \dots, b_i) \in \mathbb{F}_q^i \mid a_1 b_1 + \dots + a_i b_i = 0 \text{ and } b_j \neq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq i\}.$$

Then we have

$$|\{v \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid u \cdot v = 0, w_{\bar{\mathbf{P}}}(v) = n\}| = |A|q^{n-n_1}(q-1)^{n_1-i}.$$

Lemma 2.3: Suppose that \mathbf{P} admits MacWilliams identity. Then, for each minimal element i in $\mathbf{P}' = \mathbf{P} \setminus \min(\mathbf{P})$ and j in $\min(\mathbf{P})$, we have $i \geq j$.

Proof: Let $|\mathbf{P}| = n$ and $|\min(\mathbf{P})| = n_1$. If $n = n_1$, then the lemma is true. Hence we may assume that $n > n_1$.

We claim that $|\langle i \rangle| = 1 + |\min(\mathbf{P})|$ for each $i \in \min(\mathbf{P}')$. Suppose not. Then we can choose $i \in \min(\mathbf{P}')$ such that $|\langle i \rangle| < 1 + |\min(\mathbf{P})|$. Since $|\langle i \rangle| < 1 + |\min(\mathbf{P})|$, we can choose two vectors $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ such that $\text{supp}(u_1) = \{i\}$, $\text{supp}(u_2) \subseteq \min(\mathbf{P})$, and $|\langle \text{supp}(u_1) \rangle| = |\langle \text{supp}(u_2) \rangle|$. Now we consider two linear codes \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 generated by u_1 and u_2 , respectively. Since $|\langle \text{supp}(u_1) \rangle| = |\langle \text{supp}(u_2) \rangle|$, \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 have the same \mathbf{P} -weight enumerator. It follows from our assumption that \mathcal{C}_1^{\perp} and \mathcal{C}_2^{\perp} have the same $\bar{\mathbf{P}}$ -weight enumerator. Therefore we should have the following equation:

$$|\{v \in \mathcal{C}_1^{\perp} \mid w_{\bar{\mathbf{P}}}(v) = n\}| = |\{v \in \mathcal{C}_2^{\perp} \mid w_{\bar{\mathbf{P}}}(v) = n\}|.$$

It is immediate that

$$|\{v \in \mathcal{C}_1^\perp \mid w_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}}(v) = n\}| = q^{n-(n_1+1)}(q-1)^{n_1},$$

and it follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$q^{n-n_1} \mid |\{v \in \mathcal{C}_2^\perp \mid w_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}}(v) = n\}|.$$

These yield that $q^{n-n_1} \mid q^{n-(n_1+1)}(q-1)^{n_1}$. However it is impossible, since q is power of a prime. This prove that $|\langle i \rangle| = 1 + |\min(\mathbf{P})|$ for each $i \in \min(\mathbf{P}')$. The statement of Lemma 2.3 follows immediately from this fact.

Remark : If $i \in \mathbf{P}'$, then $i \geq k$ for some $k \in \min(\mathbf{P}')$. Therefore we have obtained : if \mathbf{P} admits MacWilliams identity, then for $i \in \mathbf{P}'$ and $j \in \min(\mathbf{P})$, we have $i \geq j$.

Lemma 2.4: If a poset \mathbf{P} admits MacWilliams identity, then \mathbf{P}' also admits MacWilliams identity.

Proof : Let $|\mathbf{P}| = n$ and $|\min(\mathbf{P})| = n_1$. If $n = n_1$, then the lemma is true. Hence we may assume that $n > n_1$.

Let $\mathcal{C}'_1, \mathcal{C}'_2$ be two linear codes of length $n - n_1$ with the same \mathbf{P}' -weight enumerators. We consider two linear codes of length n defined by

$$\mathcal{C}_i = \mathbb{F}_q^{n_1} \oplus \mathcal{C}'_i := \{(u, v) \mid u \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n_1}, v \in \mathcal{C}'_i\}, i = 1, 2.$$

It follows from the previous remark that \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 have the same \mathbf{P} -weight enumerators. Therefore $\mathcal{C}_1^\perp, \mathcal{C}_2^\perp$ have the same $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$ -weight enumerators. Since $\mathcal{C}_i^\perp = \{(u, v) \mid u = 0 \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n_1}, v \in \mathcal{C}'_i^\perp\}$, for $i = 1, 2$, \mathcal{C}_1^\perp and \mathcal{C}_2^\perp have the same $\overline{\mathbf{P}'}$ -weight enumerators. This proves that \mathbf{P}' also admits MacWilliams identity.

From the above lemmas and inductive argument, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5: If \mathbf{P} admits MacWilliams identity, then \mathbf{P} is a hierarchical poset.

III. MACWILLIAMS IDENTITY FOR A HIERARCHICAL POSET CODE

In this section, we will derive the MacWilliams identity for a hierarchical poset code. Let \mathcal{C} be a linear \mathbf{P} -code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q . We first introduce the ‘leveled’ \mathbf{P} -weight enumerator $W_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}(x : y_0, y_1, \dots, y_t)$ and obtain an equation which relates $W_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}(x : z_{t+1}, z_t, \dots, z_1)$ with variations of leveled \mathbf{P} -weight enumerator of \mathcal{C} . By specializing this equation, we will obtain the MacWilliams identity for a hierarchical poset code, and prove that our necessary condition in Section 2 is also a sufficient condition for admitting the MacWilliams identity. In this section, \mathbf{P} will denote a hierarchical poset with t -levels and n -elements unless otherwise specified.

Let $\mathbf{P} = \mathbb{H}(n; n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t)$ be a hierarchical poset with t -levels and n - elements on the set $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. As mentioned earlier, we identify the underlying set of \mathbf{P} with the coordinate positions of vectors in \mathbb{F}_q^n . Since $n = n_1 + \dots + n_t$ and $\mathbb{F}_q^n = \mathbb{F}_q^{n_1} \oplus \mathbb{F}_q^{n_2} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{F}_q^{n_t}$, for $u \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, we may write

$$u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_t), \text{ and } u_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n_i}.$$

For an integer $0 \leq i \leq t$, we also use the following notation:

$$\widehat{n}_i = n - (n_1 + \dots + n_i) = n_{i+1} + \dots + n_t, \\ \widehat{u}_{i+1} = (u_{i+1}, \dots, u_t) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\widehat{n}_i}.$$

For a linear \mathbf{P} -code \mathcal{C} , we define \mathcal{C}_i and \mathcal{C}_i^\perp as follows:

$$\mathcal{C}_i = \{u \in \mathcal{C} \mid u_{i+1} = \dots = u_t = 0\}, \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{C}_i^\perp = \{u \in \mathcal{C}_i \mid u_i \neq 0\}.$$

Let \mathcal{C} be a linear \mathbf{P} -code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q . We introduce the ‘leveled’ \mathbf{P} -weight enumerator $W_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}(x : y_0, y_1, \dots, y_t)$ of \mathcal{C} as follows:

$$W_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}(x : y_0, y_1, \dots, y_t) = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{C}} x^{w_{\mathbf{P}}(u)} y_{s_{\mathbf{P}}(u)} \\ = A_{0, \mathbf{P}} y_0 + (A_{1, \mathbf{P}} x + \dots + A_{n_1, \mathbf{P}} x^{n_1}) y_1 \\ + (A_{n_1+1, \mathbf{P}} x^{n_1+1} + \dots + A_{n_1+n_2, \mathbf{P}} x^{n_1+n_2}) y_2 \\ + \dots \\ + (A_{n_1+\dots+n_{t-1}+1, \mathbf{P}} x^{n_1+\dots+n_{t-1}+1} + \dots \\ + A_{n_1+\dots+n_t, \mathbf{P}} x^{n_1+\dots+n_t}) y_t,$$

where $s_{\mathbf{P}}(u) = \max\{i \mid u_i \neq 0\}$ in the expression $u = (u_1, \dots, u_t)$ and $A_{i, \mathbf{P}} = |\{u \in \mathcal{C} \mid w_{\mathbf{P}}(u) = i\}|$.

For the sake of simplicity in our calculation, we also introduce the i^{th} -level \mathbf{P} -weight enumerator $LW_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}^{(i)}(x), 1 \leq i \leq t$, as follows:

$$LW_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}^{(i)}(x) := \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} A_{n_1+\dots+n_{i-1}+j, \mathbf{P}} x^{n_1+\dots+n_{i-1}+j} \\ = (A_{n_1+\dots+n_{i-1}+1, \mathbf{P}} x^1 + \dots + A_{n_1+\dots+n_i, \mathbf{P}} x^{n_i}) x^{n-\widehat{n}_{i-1}}.$$

By convention, we put $LW_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}^{(0)}(x) := A_{0, \mathbf{P}}$.

Remark : (a) If we put $y_0 = y_1 = \dots = y_t = 1$, then the ‘leveled’ \mathbf{P} -weight enumerator of \mathcal{C} becomes the ‘usual’ \mathbf{P} -weight enumerator of \mathcal{C} :

$$W_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}(x : 1, \dots, 1) = W_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^t LW_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}^{(i)}(x). \quad (2)$$

(b) If we put $y_j = 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq i$ and $y_k = 0$ for $k > i$, then the ‘leveled’ \mathbf{P} -weight enumerator of \mathcal{C} becomes the \mathbf{P} -weight enumerator of the subspace \mathcal{C}_i

(c) It is easy to see that

$$W_{\mathcal{C}_i, \mathbf{P}}(x) - W_{\mathcal{C}_{i-1}, \mathbf{P}}(x) = LW_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}^{(i)}(x) = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{C}_i^\perp} x^{w_{\mathbf{P}}(u)}. \quad (3)$$

Recall that an additive character χ on \mathbb{F}_q is just a homomorphism from the additive group of \mathbb{F}_q into the multiplicative group of complex numbers of magnitude 1. We give the following lemmas about additive characters on \mathbb{F}_q which play an important role in the proof of the main theorem without proof. See [6], [7] for detailed discussion on additive characters.

Lemma 3.1: Let χ be a nontrivial additive character of \mathbb{F}_q and α be a fixed element of \mathbb{F}_q . Then

$$\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi(\alpha\beta) = \begin{cases} q & \text{if } \alpha = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.2: Let χ be a nontrivial additive character of \mathbb{F}_q . Then, for any linear code \mathcal{C} over \mathbb{F}_q ,

$$\sum_{v \in \mathcal{C}} \chi(u \cdot v) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } u \notin \mathcal{C}^\perp \\ |\mathcal{C}| & \text{if } u \in \mathcal{C}^\perp. \end{cases}$$

Let f be a complex-valued function defined on \mathbb{F}_q^n . The Hadamard transform \widehat{f} of f is defined by

$$\widehat{f}(u) = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{F}_q^n} \chi(u \cdot v) f(v).$$

The following lemma, which is called the discrete Poisson summation formula, is an easy consequence of Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.3: Let \mathcal{C} be a linear code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q and f be a function on \mathbb{F}_q^n . Then

$$\sum_{u \in \mathcal{C}^\perp} f(u) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}|} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{C}} \widehat{f}(u).$$

Lemma 3.4: If a function f is defined on \mathbb{F}_q^n by $f(u) = x^{w_H(u)}$, then its Hadamard transform \widehat{f} of f is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}(u) &= \sum_{v \in \mathbb{F}_q^n} \chi(u \cdot v) f(v) \\ &= (1 + (q-1)x)^{n-w_H(u)} (1-x)^{w_H(u)}. \end{aligned}$$

The MacWilliams identity for Hamming weight enumerators can be obtained by applying discrete Poisson summation formula to the complex-valued function $f(u) = x^{w_H(u)}$. We now apply discrete Poisson summation formula to the complex-valued function $f(u) = x^{w_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}}(u)} z_{s_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}}(u)}$, where $s_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}}(u) = \min\{i \mid u_i \neq 0\}$ in the expression $u = (u_1, \dots, u_t)$, $u_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n_i}$. By convention, we set $s_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}}(0) = t+1$. We now analyze the value $\widehat{f}(u)$ in detail. For an integer $0 \leq i \leq t$, we put

$$B_i = \{u = (u_1, \dots, u_t) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid u_1 = \dots = u_i = 0, \text{ and } u_{i+1} \neq 0\}.$$

Note that $\mathbb{F}_q^n = \bigcup_{i=0}^t B_i$ is a disjoint union.

It follows from the above observation that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}(u) &= \sum_{v \in \mathbb{F}_q^n} \chi(u \cdot v) f(v) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^t \sum_{v \in B_i} \chi(u \cdot v) x^{w_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}}(v)} z_{s_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}}(v)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Denote the inner sum in (4) by $S_i(u)$, $0 \leq i \leq t$. For $v \in B_i$ with $i < t$, we have $w_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}}(v) = n_{i+2} + \dots + n_t + w_H(v_{i+1}) = \widehat{n}_{i+1} + w_H(v_{i+1})$ and $s_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}}(v) = i+1$, where $\widehat{n}_i = n - (n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_i)$. For $v \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, we write $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i, v_{i+1})$, where $\widehat{v}_{i+1} = (v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, \dots, v_t) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}}$. Hence the inner sum $S_i(u)$ in (4) for $i < t$ is

$$\begin{aligned} S_i(u) &= \sum_{v \in B_i} \chi(u \cdot v) x^{w_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}}(v)} z_{s_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}}(v)} \\ &= x^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}} z_{i+1} \sum_{v \in B_i} \chi(u \cdot v) x^{w_H(v_{i+1})} \\ &= x^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}} z_{i+1} \sum_{\widehat{v}_{i+2} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}}} \chi(\widehat{u}_{i+2} \cdot \widehat{v}_{i+2}) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{v_{i+1} \neq 0 \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}}} \chi(u_{i+1} \cdot v_{i+1}) x^{w_H(v_{i+1})}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 3.4 that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{v_{i+1} \neq 0 \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}}} \chi(u_{i+1} \cdot v_{i+1}) x^{w_H(v_{i+1})} \\ &= \left(\frac{1-x}{Q(x)}\right)^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}} Q(x)^{w_H(u_{i+1})} - 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $Q(x) = \frac{1-x}{1+(q-1)x}$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_i(u) &= x^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}} z_{i+1} \sum_{\widehat{v}_{i+2} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}}} \chi(\widehat{u}_{i+2} \cdot \widehat{v}_{i+2}) \\ &\quad \times \left(\left(\frac{1-x}{Q(x)}\right)^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}} Q(x)^{w_H(u_{i+1})} - 1 \right) \\ &= x^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}} z_{i+1} \left(\left(\frac{1-x}{Q(x)}\right)^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}} Q(x)^{w_H(u_{i+1})} - 1 \right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\widehat{v}_{i+2} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}}} \chi(\widehat{u}_{i+2} \cdot \widehat{v}_{i+2}). \end{aligned}$$

For $i < t$, it follows from the Lemma 3.2 that

$$S_i(u) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \widehat{u}_{i+2} \neq 0 \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}} \\ (qx)^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}} z_{i+1} \\ \quad \times \left(\left(\frac{1-x}{Q(x)}\right)^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}} Q(x)^{w_H(u_{i+1})} - 1 \right) & \text{if } \widehat{u}_{i+2} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

For $i = t$, it is clear that $S_t(u) = z_{t+1}$.

Hence we have $\widehat{f}(u) = z_{t+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} S_i(u)$, where $S_i(u)$ is given by (5).

Let \mathcal{C} be a linear \mathbf{P} -code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q , where $\mathbf{P} = \mathbb{H}(n; n_1, \dots, n_t)$ is a hierarchical poset with t -levels and n -elements. For $0 \leq i \leq t$, we consider the subspace \mathcal{C}_i of \mathcal{C} defined by

$$\mathcal{C}_i = \{u = (u_1, \dots, u_t) \in \mathcal{C} \mid u_{i+1} = \dots = u_t = 0\}.$$

Note that \mathcal{C}_i is the subset of the codewords u of \mathcal{C} satisfying $\widehat{u}_{i+1} = 0$. Therefore it follows from (5) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{C}} S_i(u) &= \sum_{u \in \mathcal{C}_{i+1}} S_i(u) \\ &= (qx)^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}} z_{i+1} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{C}_{i+1}} \left(\left(\frac{1-x}{Q(x)}\right)^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}} Q(x)^{w_H(u_{i+1})} - 1 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Denote the right hand side of the sum in (6) by $S(\mathcal{C}_{i+1})$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} S(\mathcal{C}_{i+1}) &= \sum_{u \in \mathcal{C}_{i+1}} \left(\left(\frac{1-x}{Q(x)}\right)^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}} Q(x)^{w_H(u_{i+1})} - 1 \right) \\ &= (1 + (q-1)x)^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{C}_{i+1}} Q(x)^{w_H(u_{i+1})} - |\mathcal{C}_{i+1}| \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Put $\mathcal{C}_{i+1}^0 = \{u \in \mathcal{C}_{i+1} \mid u_{i+1} = 0\}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{i+1}^1 = \{u \in \mathcal{C}_{i+1} \mid u_{i+1} \neq 0\}$. For each $u \in \mathcal{C}_{i+1}^1$, we have

$$w_{\mathbf{P}}(u) = w_H(u_{i+1}) + n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_i = w_H(u_{i+1}) + (n - \widehat{n}_i).$$

It follows from this observation that the inner sum in (7) is

$$\left(\frac{1+(q-1)x}{1-x}\right)^{n-\widehat{n}_i} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{C}_{i+1}^1} \left(\frac{1-x}{1+(q-1)x}\right)^{w_{\mathbf{P}}(u)} + |\mathcal{C}_i|.$$

It follows from (2) and (3) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{u \in \mathcal{C}} S_i(u) &= \sum_{u \in \mathcal{C}_{i+1}} S_i(u) \\
 &= (qx)^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}} (1 + (q-1)x)^{n_{i+1}} \left(\frac{1}{Q(x)}\right)^{n-\widehat{n}_i} \\
 &\times z_{i+1} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{C}_{i+1}^1} Q(x)^{w_{\mathbf{P}}(u)} \\
 &+ (qx)^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}} z_{i+1} (|\mathcal{C}_i|(1 + (q-1)x)^{n_{i+1}} - |\mathcal{C}_{i+1}|) \\
 &= \left(\frac{qx}{1-x}\right)^n \left(\frac{1+(q-1)x}{qx}\right)^{n-\widehat{n}_{i+1}} (1-x)^{\widehat{n}_i} \\
 &\times LW_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}^{(i+1)}(Q(x)) z_{i+1} \\
 &+ (qx)^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}} z_{i+1} (|\mathcal{C}_i|(1 + (q-1)x)^{n_{i+1}} - |\mathcal{C}_{i+1}|) \quad (8)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\widehat{f}(u) = \sum_{i=0}^t \sum_{v \in B_i} \chi(u \cdot v) x^{w_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}}(v)} z_{s_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}}(v)} = z_{t+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} S_i(u),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{u \in \mathcal{C}} \widehat{f}(u) &= |\mathcal{C}| z_{t+1} + \sum_{u \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} S_i(u) \\
 &= |\mathcal{C}| z_{t+1} + \left(\frac{qx}{1-x}\right)^n \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} a_i(x) LW_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}^{(i+1)}(Q(x)) z_{i+1} \\
 &+ \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} b_i(x) |\mathcal{C}_i| z_{i+1} - \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} (qx)^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}} |\mathcal{C}_{i+1}| z_{i+1}, \quad (9)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $a_i(x) = \left(\frac{1+(q-1)x}{qx}\right)^{n-\widehat{n}_{i+1}} (1-x)^{\widehat{n}_i}$ and $b_i(x) = (1 + (q-1)x)^{n_{i+1}} (qx)^{n_{i+1}}$.

Since $W_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}(x : y_0, \dots, y_t) = \sum_{i=0}^t LW_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}^{(i)}(x) y_i$, $Q(x) = \frac{1-x}{1+(q-1)x}$, and $a_i(x) = \left(\frac{1+(q-1)x}{qx}\right)^{n-\widehat{n}_{i+1}} (1-x)^{\widehat{n}_i}$, the first summation in (9) becomes

$$\left(\frac{qx}{1-x}\right)^n W_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}\left(\frac{1-x}{1+(q-1)x} : f_0, f_1, \dots, f_t\right), \quad (10)$$

where

$$f_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \\ \left(\frac{1+(q-1)x}{qx}\right)^{n-\widehat{n}_i} (1-x)^{\widehat{n}_{i-1}} z_i & \text{if } i \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Since $|\mathcal{C}_i| = A_{0, \mathbf{P}} + (A_{1, \mathbf{P}} + \dots + A_{n_1, \mathbf{P}}) + \dots + (A_{n_1+\dots+n_{i-1}+1, \mathbf{P}} + \dots + A_{n_1+\dots+n_i, \mathbf{P}})$, we have the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} b_i(x) |\mathcal{C}_i| z_{i+1} \\
 &= b_0(x) |\mathcal{C}_0| z_1 + b_1(x) |\mathcal{C}_1| z_2 + \dots + b_{t-1}(x) |\mathcal{C}_{t-1}| z_t \\
 &= A_{0, \mathbf{P}} (b_0(x) z_1 + b_1(x) z_2 + \dots + b_{t-1}(x) z_t) \\
 &+ (A_{1, \mathbf{P}} + \dots + A_{n_1, \mathbf{P}}) (b_1(x) z_2 + \dots + b_{t-1}(x) z_t) \\
 &+ \dots + \\
 &+ (A_{n_1+\dots+n_{t-2}+1, \mathbf{P}} + \dots + A_{n_1+\dots+n_{t-1}, \mathbf{P}}) b_{t-1}(x) z_t.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $g_j = \sum_{i=j}^{t-1} b_i(x) z_{i+1}$, for $0 \leq j \leq t-1$ and $g_t = 0$.

(Recall that $b_i(x) = (qx)^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}} (1 + (q-1)x)^{n_{i+1}}$.)

Since $LW_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}^{(i)}(1) = |\mathcal{C}_i| - |\mathcal{C}_{i-1}| = A_{n_1+\dots+n_{i-1}+1, \mathbf{P}} + \dots + A_{n_1+\dots+n_i, \mathbf{P}}$ and $W_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}(1 : y_0, \dots, y_t) = \sum_{i=0}^t LW_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}^{(i)}(1) y_i$, the second summation in (9) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} b_i(x) |\mathcal{C}_i| z_{i+1} &= \sum_{i=0}^t LW_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}^{(i)}(1) g_i \\
 &= W_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}(1 : g_0, g_1, \dots, g_t), \quad (12)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g_j = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=j}^{t-1} (qx)^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}} (1 + (q-1)x)^{n_{i+1}} z_{i+1} & \text{if } 0 \leq j \leq t-1 \\ 0 & \text{if } j = t. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

In the same manner, the last summation in (9) becomes

$$\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} (qx)^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}} z_{i+1} |\mathcal{C}_{i+1}| = W_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}(1 : h_0, h_1, \dots, h_t) \quad (14)$$

where

$$h_j = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=j}^t (qx)^{\widehat{n}_i} z_i & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq t \\ \sum_{i=1}^t (qx)^{\widehat{n}_i} z_i & \text{if } j = 0. \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

By applying discrete Poisson summation formula

$$\sum_{u \in \mathcal{C}^\perp} f(u) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}|} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{C}} \widehat{f}(u),$$

we finally obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5: Let $\mathbf{P} = \mathbb{H}(n : n_1, \dots, n_t)$ be the hierarchical poset of n -elements with t -levels and \mathcal{C} be a linear \mathbf{P} -code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 W_{\mathcal{C}^\perp, \overline{\mathbf{P}}}(x : z_{t+1}, \dots, z_1) &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}|} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{C}} \widehat{f}(u) \\
 &= z_{t+1} + \frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}|} \left(\left(\frac{qx}{1-x}\right)^n W_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}(Q(x) : f_0, \dots, f_t) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + W_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}(1 : g_0, \dots, g_t) - W_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}(1 : h_0, \dots, h_t) \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $Q(x) = \frac{1-x}{1+(q-1)x}$, and f_i, g_i, h_i are given by Equations (11), (13) and (15).

If we put $z_1 = z_2 = \dots = z_{t+1} = 1$ in Theorem 3.5, then $W_{\mathcal{C}^\perp, \overline{\mathbf{P}}}(x : 1, 1, \dots, 1)$ becomes the ‘usual’ the $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$ -weight enumerator $W_{\mathcal{C}^\perp, \overline{\mathbf{P}}}(x)$ of the dual code \mathcal{C}^\perp on the poset $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$. Hence the $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$ -weight enumerator of the dual code \mathcal{C}^\perp is uniquely determined by the \mathbf{P} -weight enumerator of \mathcal{C} itself.

Combining this with Theorem 2.5, we obtain the following main theorem.

Theorem 3.6: A poset \mathbf{P} admits MacWilliams identity if and only if \mathbf{P} is a hierarchical poset.

As an illustration, we apply Theorem 3.5 to special cases, and compare our results with the previous result.

Let \mathbf{P} be an antichain of n -elements, that is, \mathbf{P} is a hierarchical poset with 1-level. Put $z_1 = z_2 = 1$. The equations (11), (13) and (15) can be written as follows:

$$f_0 = 0, \quad f_1 = \left(\frac{1 + (q-1)x}{qx} \right)^n (1-x)^n, \quad (16)$$

$$g_0 = (1 + (q-1)x)^n, \quad g_1 = 0, \quad (17)$$

$$h_0 = h_1 = 1. \quad (18)$$

After a simple calculation, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.7: Let \mathbf{P} be an anti-chain of n -elements and \mathcal{C} be a linear \mathbf{P} -code over \mathbb{F}_q . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\mathcal{C}^\perp}(x) &= W_{\mathcal{C}^\perp, \overline{\mathbf{P}}}(x : 1, 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}|} (1 + (q-1)x)^n W_{\mathcal{C}} \left(\frac{1-x}{1+(q-1)x} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

We remark that (19) is exactly the ‘classical’ MacWilliams identity for Hamming weight enumerators (cf [7, Ch5, Theorem 13]).

Let \mathbf{P} be a chain of t -elements, that is, \mathbf{P} is a hierarchical poset of t -levels and $n_1 = \dots = n_t = 1$ so that $\widehat{n}_i = t - i$ for $0 \leq i \leq t$. Put $z_1 = z_2 = \dots = z_t = 1$. Then we have the following equations:

$$f_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \\ (1-x)^{t+1} \left(\frac{1+(q-1)x}{qx(1-x)} \right)^i & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq t, \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

$$g_i = \frac{1+(q-1)x}{qx-1} ((qx)^{t-i} - 1) \quad \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq t, \quad (21)$$

$$h_i = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{qx-1} ((qx)^{t-i+1} - 1) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq t \\ \frac{1}{qx-1} ((qx)^t - 1) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq t. \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

From (20), (21), and (22), we have the followings:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{qx}{1-x} \right)^t W_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}} \left(\frac{1-x}{1+(q-1)x} : f_0, \dots, f_t \right) \\ &= (1-x)(qx)^t \left(W_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}} \left(\frac{1}{qx} \right) - 1 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & W_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}(1 : g_0, \dots, g_t) \\ &= \frac{1+(q-1)x}{qx-1} \left((qx)^t W_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}} \left(\frac{1}{qx} \right) - |\mathcal{C}| \right), \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & W_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}(1 : h_0, \dots, h_t) \\ &= \frac{(qx)^{t+1}}{qx-1} W_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}} \left(\frac{1}{qx} \right) - \frac{1}{qx-1} |\mathcal{C}| - (qx)^t. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

By applying (23) – (25) to Theorem 3.5, we have the followings:

$$\begin{aligned} & W_{\mathcal{C}^\perp, \overline{\mathbf{P}}}(x) = W_{\mathcal{C}^\perp, \overline{\mathbf{P}}}(x : 1, 1, \dots, 1) \\ &= 1 - \frac{(q-1)x}{qx-1} + \\ & \frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}|} \left(\frac{(qx)^{t+1}(1-x)}{qx-1} W_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}} \left(\frac{1}{qx} \right) + x(qx)^t \right). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Note that $|\mathcal{C}||\mathcal{C}^\perp| = q^t$ and some computations yield the following corollary.

Corollary 3.8: Let \mathbf{P} be a chain of n -elements and \mathcal{C} a linear \mathbf{P} -code over \mathbb{F}_q . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & (qx-1)W_{\mathcal{C}^\perp, \overline{\mathbf{P}}}(x) + 1 - x \\ &= |\mathcal{C}^\perp| x^{t+1} \left(q(1-x)W_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}} \left(\frac{1}{qx} \right) + qx - 1 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

This is the same as the result in [12, Theorem 4.4].

IV. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN WEIGHT DISTRIBUTIONS

Let $\mathbf{P} = \mathbb{H}(n; n_1, \dots, n_t)$ be a hierarchical poset of n -elements with t -levels and $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$ be its dual poset. Let \mathcal{C} be a linear \mathbf{P} -code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q , and let $\{A_{i, \mathbf{P}}\}_{i=0, \dots, n}$ (resp. $\{A'_{i, \overline{\mathbf{P}}}\}_{i=0, \dots, n}$) be the weight distributions of the \mathbf{P} (resp. $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$) -code \mathcal{C} (resp. \mathcal{C}^\perp), that is, $A_{i, \mathbf{P}} = |\{u \in \mathcal{C} \mid w_{\mathbf{P}}(u) = i\}|$ while $A'_{i, \overline{\mathbf{P}}} = |\{v \in \mathcal{C}^\perp \mid w_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}}(v) = i\}|$. In this section, we will study the relationship between $\{A_{i, \mathbf{P}}\}_{i=0, \dots, n}$ and $\{A'_{i, \overline{\mathbf{P}}}\}_{i=0, \dots, n}$. More precisely, we will express explicitly $A'_{i, \overline{\mathbf{P}}}$ in terms of $A_{j, \mathbf{P}}$, $0 \leq j \leq n$, using Krawtchouk polynomials.

Before proceeding with hierarchical posets, we briefly review the relationship between $\{A'_i\}_{i=0, \dots, n}$ and $\{A_i\}_{i=0, \dots, n}$, where $A'_i = |\{u \in \mathcal{C}^\perp \mid w_H(u) = i\}|$ and $A_i = |\{u \in \mathcal{C} \mid w_H(u) = i\}|$. For convenience, we set $\gamma = q - 1$ in this section.

Definition 4.1: For any prime power q and positive integer n , the Krawtchouk polynomial is defined by

$$P_k(x : n) = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \gamma^{k-j} \binom{x}{j} \binom{n-x}{k-j}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

These polynomials have the generating function

$$(1 + \gamma x)^{n-i} (1-x)^i = \sum_{k=0}^n P_k(i : n) x^k, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n. \quad (28)$$

Theorem 4.2: (Relationship between Hamming weight distributions) Let \mathcal{C} be a linear code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q . Then

$$A'_k = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}|} \sum_{i=0}^n A_i P_k(i : n),$$

where $A'_k = |\{u \in \mathcal{C}^\perp \mid w_H(u) = k\}|$ and $A_i = |\{u \in \mathcal{C} \mid w_H(u) = i\}|$.

Let $\mathbf{P} = \mathbb{H}(n; n_1, \dots, n_t)$ be a hierarchical poset of n -elements with t -levels and \mathcal{C} be a linear \mathbf{P} -code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q . We define $LW_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}^{(i)}(x, y)$ as follows:

$$LW_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}^{(i)}(x, y) := \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} A_{n_1 + \dots + n_{i-1} + j} x^{n_i - j} y^{n_1 + \dots + n_{i-1} + j}. \quad (29)$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$LW_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}^{(i)}(x, y) = W_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}(x, y) - x^{n_i} W_{\mathcal{C}_{i-1}, \mathbf{P}}(x, y). \quad (30)$$

The $LW_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}^{(i)}(x, y)$ is also called the i^{th} level \mathbf{P} -weight enumerator of \mathcal{C} .

By setting $z_1 = z_2 = \dots = z_{t+1} = 1$ in Theorem 3.5, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3: Let $\mathbf{P} = \mathbb{H}(n; n_1, \dots, n_t)$ and \mathcal{C} be a linear \mathbf{P} -code over \mathbb{F}_q . Then

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\mathcal{C}^\perp, \overline{\mathbf{P}}}(x) &= 1 + \frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}|} \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \frac{(qx)^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}}}{(1-x)^{n-\widehat{n}_i}} LW_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}^{(i+1)}(1 + \gamma x, 1 - x) \\ &+ \frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}|} \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} (qx)^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}} \left((1 + \gamma x)^{n_{i+1}} |\mathcal{C}_i| - |\mathcal{C}_{i+1}| \right). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Since $n - \widehat{n}_i = n_1 + \dots + n_i$, the following equation can be easily derived from (28), (29), and (30):

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(qx)^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}}}{(1-x)^{n-\widehat{n}_i}} LW_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{P}}^{(i+1)}(1 + \gamma x, 1 - x) \\ &= (qx)^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n_{i+1}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_{i+1}} A_{n_1+\dots+n_i+j} P_k(j : n_{i+1}) \right) x^k. \end{aligned}$$

For convenience, we set

$$a_k(j : n_{i+1}) := \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i+1}} A_{n_1+\dots+n_i+j} P_k(j : n_{i+1}).$$

Since $P_0(j : n_{i+1}) = 1$, we have

$$a_0(j : n_{i+1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i+1}} A_{n_1+\dots+n_i+j} = |\mathcal{C}_{i+1}| - |\mathcal{C}_i|. \quad (32)$$

Therefore, the first summation in (31) becomes

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}|} \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} (qx)^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n_{i+1}} a_k(j : n_{i+1}) x^k \right). \quad (33)$$

It follows from the binomial series that the last summation in (31) becomes

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}|} \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} (qx)^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}} \left(|\mathcal{C}_i| - |\mathcal{C}_{i+1}| + \sum_{k=1}^{n_{i+1}} \binom{n_{i+1}}{k} \gamma^k |\mathcal{C}_i| x^k \right). \quad (34)$$

By (32), (33), and (34), the RHS of (31) in the Theorem 4.3 becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &1 + \frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}|} \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} (qx)^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}} \\ &\times \sum_{k=1}^{n_{i+1}} \left(a_k(j : n_{i+1}) + \binom{n_{i+1}}{k} \gamma^k |\mathcal{C}_i| \right) x^k. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

On the other hand, the LHS of (31) in the Theorem 4.3 can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &W_{\mathcal{C}^\perp, \overline{\mathbf{P}}}(x) \\ &= A'_{0, \overline{\mathbf{P}}} + A'_{1, \overline{\mathbf{P}}} x + \dots + A'_{n_t, \overline{\mathbf{P}}} x^{n_t} \\ &+ \left(A'_{n_t+1, \overline{\mathbf{P}}} x + \dots + A'_{n_t+n_{t-1}, \overline{\mathbf{P}}} x^{n_{t-1}} \right) x^{n_t} \\ &+ \dots \\ &+ \left(A'_{n_t+\dots+n_2+1, \overline{\mathbf{P}}} x + \dots + A'_{n_t+\dots+n_1, \overline{\mathbf{P}}} x^{n_1} \right) x^{n_t+\dots+n_2} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} x^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{i+1}} A'_{n_t+\dots+n_{i+2}+k, \overline{\mathbf{P}}} x^k. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Since $a_k(j : n_{i+1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i+1}} A_{n_1+\dots+n_i+j} P_k(j : n_{i+1})$ and

$|\mathcal{C}_i| = \sum_{k=0}^{n_1+\dots+n_i} A_k$, we have the following theorem from (35) and (36). (Note $A'_{0, \overline{\mathbf{P}}} = A_{0, \mathbf{P}} = 1$.)

Theorem 4.4: Let $\mathbf{P} = \mathbb{H}(n; n_1, \dots, n_t)$ be a hierarchical poset of n -elements with t -levels and \mathcal{C} be a linear \mathbf{P} -code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q . Then, for each $0 \leq i \leq t-1$, $1 \leq k \leq n_{i+1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &A'_{n_t+\dots+n_{i+2}+k, \overline{\mathbf{P}}} \\ &= \frac{q^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}}}{|\mathcal{C}|} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i+1}} P_k(j : n_{i+1}) A_{n_1+\dots+n_i+j, \mathbf{P}} \\ &+ \frac{q^{\widehat{n}_{i+1}}}{|\mathcal{C}|} \binom{n_{i+1}}{k} \gamma^k \sum_{j=0}^{n_1+\dots+n_i} A_{j, \mathbf{P}}. \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] R. A. Brualdi, J. Graves and K. M. Lawrence, Codes with a poset metric, *Discrete Math.* 147 (1995) 57 - 72.
- [2] S. T. Dougherty and M. M. Skrganov, MacWilliams duality and the Rosenbloom-Tsfasman metric, *Moscow Math. J.* 2 (2002), no 1, 81 - 97.
- [3] J. N. Gutiérrez and H. Tapia-Recillas, A MacWilliams identity for poset-codes, *Congr. Numer.* 133 (1998) 63 - 73.
- [4] Y. Jang and J. Park, On a MacWilliams identity and a perfectness for a binary linear $(n, n-1, j)$ -poset codes, *Discrete Math.* 265 (2003) 85 - 104.
- [5] D. S. Kim and J. G. Lee, A MacWilliams-type identity for linear codes on weak order, *Discrete Math.* 262 (2003) 181 - 194.
- [6] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, *Introduction to finite fields and their applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1994.
- [7] F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. Sloane, *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1998.
- [8] H. Niederreiter, Point sets and sequence with small discrepancy, *Monatsh. Math.* 104 (1987) 273 - 337.
- [9] H. Niederreiter, A combinatorial problem for vector spaces over finite fields, *Discrete Math.* 96 (1991) 221 - 228.
- [10] H. Niederreiter, Orthogonal arrays and other combinatorial aspects in the theory of uniform point distributions in unit cubes, *Discrete Math.* 106/107 (1992) 361 - 367.
- [11] M. Yu. Rosenbloom and M. A. Tsfasman, Codes for m -metric, *Problemy Peredachi Informatsii* 33 (1997), no 1, 55 - 63 (Russian). English translation in *Problems Inform. transmission* 33 (1997), no 1, 45 - 52.
- [12] M. M. Skrganov, Coding theory and uniform distributions, *Algebra i Analiz* 13 (2001), no 2, 191 - 239 (Russian). English translation to appear in *St. Petersburg Math. J.*