

# Minimal-span bases, linear system theory, and the invariant factor theorem

**G. David Forney, Jr.**

MIT  
Cambridge MA 02139 USA

DIMACS Workshop on  
Algebraic Coding Theory and Information Theory

DIMACS Center, Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ  
15 December 2003

# Background

1970: “Convolutional codes I: Algebraic structure”

- Key tool: the invariant factor theorem

1976: “Minimal bases of rational vector spaces, with applications to multivariable linear systems”

- Similar results, without the invariant factor theorem
- Minimal basis = set of shortest independent generators

1988-98: Trellis-oriented generator matrices for linear block codes

- Minimal state-space realizations of linear block codes
- Trellis-oriented basis = set of shortest-span independent generators
- Theory is elementary, once ordering of coordinates is specified

1993: “Dynamics of group codes: State spaces, trellis diagrams, and canonical encoders”

- Minimal state-space realizations depend only on group structure

Conclusions and speculations

- Theory of minimal realizations of linear systems is
  - elementary, more so than than the invariant factor theorem;
  - basically group-theoretic
- Can the IFT be proved using minimal realization theory?

# Outline

Develop theory of minimal realizations of linear systems

- Key: Minimal-span bases
- Demonstrate that the theory is elementary

Easy proof that the ring of polynomials (resp. finite sequences) is a principal ideal domain

- Based on structure of linear time-invariant systems over  $\mathbb{F}$

However, our proof of the IFT is still mainly algebraic

Open question:

- relation between minimal-span bases and invariant-factor bases

For algebraic coding theorists:

- A different kind of algebra

# Definitions

## Sequence space $(\mathbb{F}^n)^{\mathcal{I}}$

- $\mathbb{F}$ : a field
- **time axis**  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ : a discrete index set
- **sequence**  $\mathbf{x} \in (\mathbb{F}^n)^{\mathcal{I}} = \{x_k \in \mathbb{F}^n, k \in \mathcal{I}\}$ 
  - $D$ -transform  $x(D) = \sum_k x_k D^k$
- $(\mathbb{F}^n)^{\mathcal{I}} \cong (\mathbb{F}^{\mathcal{I}})^n$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$

## Discrete-time linear system (code) $\mathcal{C}$ over $\mathbb{F}$

- $\mathcal{C}$ : any subspace of  $(\mathbb{F}^n)^{\mathcal{I}}$

## Degree, delay, support, span of a sequence $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$

- **degree**:  $\deg \mathbf{x} = \text{greatest } k \in \mathcal{I} \text{ such that } x_k \neq 0$
- **delay**:  $\text{del } \mathbf{x} = \text{least } k \in \mathcal{I} \text{ such that } x_k \neq 0$
- **support**:  $\text{supp } \mathbf{x} = [\deg \mathbf{x}, \text{del } \mathbf{x}]$
- **span**:  $\text{span } \mathbf{x} = \deg \mathbf{x} - \text{del } \mathbf{x} \geq 0$ .
- if  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ , then  $\deg \mathbf{x} = -\infty$ ,  $\text{del } \mathbf{x} = \infty$

Classification of sequences:

	$\text{del } \mathbf{x} = -\infty$	$\text{del } \mathbf{x} > -\infty$	$\text{del } \mathbf{x} \geq 0$
$\deg \mathbf{x} = \infty$	bi-infinite	Laurent	causal
$\deg \mathbf{x} < \infty$	anti-Laurent	finite	polynomial
$\deg \mathbf{x} \leq 0$	anti-causal	anti-polynomial	scalar

## Time-invariance of a system $\mathcal{C}$

- $\mathcal{C}$  is **time-invariant** if  $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $D\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}$
- $\mathcal{C}$  is **semi-time-invariant** if  $D\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{C}$

# Finite and polynomial linear systems

## Polynomial linear systems

- A sequence  $\mathbf{x}$  is **polynomial** if its  $D$ -transform  $x(D)$  is polynomial
  - $\mathbb{F}[D]$ : ring of polynomial sequences
  - $\mathbb{F}^n[D] \cong (\mathbb{F}[D])^n$ : module of  $n$ -tuples of polynomial sequences
  - $(\mathbb{F}[D])^n$  is a semi-time-invariant linear system
- **Polynomial linear system**  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $\mathbb{F}^n$ :  
a subset  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq (\mathbb{F}[D])^n$  that is closed under addition and multiplication by scalars
- Polynomial linear semi-time-invariant (LSTI) system  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $\mathbb{F}^n$ :  
a subset  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq (\mathbb{F}[D])^n$  that is closed under addition and multiplication by scalars or by  $D$ ; *i.e.*, multiplication by polynomials

## Finite linear systems

- A sequence  $\mathbf{x}$  is **finite** if it has a finite number of nonzero coefficients
  - $\mathbb{F}[D, D^{-1}]$ : ring of finite sequences
  - $\mathbb{F}^n[D, D^{-1}] \cong (\mathbb{F}[D, D^{-1}])^n$ : module of  $n$ -tuples of finite sequences
  - $(\mathbb{F}[D, D^{-1}])^n$  is a time-invariant linear system
- **Finite linear system**  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $\mathbb{F}^n$ :  
a subset  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq (\mathbb{F}[D, D^{-1}])^n$  that is closed under addition and multiplication by scalars
- Finite linear time-invariant (LTI) system  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $\mathbb{F}^n$ :  
a subset  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq (\mathbb{F}[D, D^{-1}])^n$  that is closed under addition and multiplication by scalars,  $D$  or  $D^{-1}$ ; *i.e.*, multiplication by finite sequences

We will focus on finite linear systems

- Finite and polynomial linear systems are almost identical
- Finite linear systems can be time-invariant

# Minimal-span bases for finite linear systems

**Basis** for a finite linear system  $\mathcal{C}$ :

a linearly independent set  $\mathcal{G}$  of finite **generators**  $\mathbf{g}_i \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $\mathcal{C}$  is the set of all finite  $\mathbb{F}$ -linear combinations of generators

**Minimal-span basis** for a finite linear system  $\mathcal{C}$ :

a basis  $\mathcal{G}$  for  $\mathcal{C}$  such that

no generator can be replaced by a shorter-span generator

**Predictable support property** for a set  $\mathcal{G} = \{\mathbf{g}_i\}$  of finite generators: if  $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \alpha_i \mathbf{g}_i$  is any finite linear combination with  $\alpha_i \neq 0, i \in \mathcal{J}$ , then

$$\text{supp } \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \alpha_i \mathbf{g}_i = [(\min_{\mathcal{J}} \text{del } \mathbf{g}_i), (\max_{\mathcal{J}} \text{deg } \mathbf{g}_i)];$$

*i.e.*, cancellation of minimum-delay or max-degree terms never occurs.

**Theorem 1 (Minimal-span basis = PSP)** *Given a finite linear system  $\mathcal{C} \in (\mathbb{F}^n)^{\mathcal{I}}_f$  and a basis  $\mathcal{G}$  for  $\mathcal{C}$ , where  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\mathcal{G}$  is a minimal-span basis for  $\mathcal{C}$ ;
- (b)  $\mathcal{G}$  has the predictable support property.

*Proof.* There is a  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}$  that can be substituted for a longer-span generator  $\mathbf{g}_i \in \mathcal{G}$  if and only if there is a linear combination of generators including  $\mathbf{g}_i$  for which the predictable support property fails.  $\square$

**Corollary 2 (Algebraic test for PSP)** *A set  $\mathcal{G}$  of generators  $\mathbf{g}_i \in (\mathbb{F}^n)^{\mathcal{I}}$  has the predictable support property if and only if for each  $k \in \mathcal{I}$ , the set of time- $k$  symbols  $g_{ik}$  of generators  $\mathbf{g}_i \in \mathcal{G}$  that start at time  $k$  is linearly independent, and similarly the set of time- $k$  symbols  $g_{ik}$  of generators  $\mathbf{g}_i$  that stop at time  $k$  is linearly independent.*

*Consequently the number of generators  $\mathbf{g}_i \in \mathcal{G}$  that start or stop at any time  $k \in \mathcal{I}$  is not greater than  $n$ .*

# Minimal state-space realizations and minimal-span bases

## Elementary realization of a single generator $\mathbf{g}_i$

A single generator  $\mathbf{g}_i$  with support  $[\text{del } \mathbf{g}_i, \text{deg } \mathbf{g}_i]$  may be realized by an elementary state realization with a one-dimensional state space which is “active” during  $[\text{del } \mathbf{g}_i, \text{deg } \mathbf{g}_i]$  and “inactive” otherwise.

## Product realization of a generator set $\mathcal{G}$

A set  $\mathcal{G} = \{\mathbf{g}_i\}$  of generators may be realized by summing the outputs of elementary realizations of each generator individually.

**Theorem 3** *Given a linear system  $\mathcal{C}$  and a minimal-span basis  $\mathcal{G}$  for  $\mathcal{C}$ , the product realization of  $\mathcal{G}$  is a minimal state-space realization of  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

*Proof.* Based on:

**Theorem 4 (State space theorem)** *Given a linear system  $\mathcal{C}$  defined on a time axis  $\mathcal{I}$  and a cut time  $j$  of  $\mathcal{I}$ , the minimal dimension of the state space  $\Sigma_j$  in any linear realization is  $\dim \mathcal{C} / (\mathcal{C}_{:\mathcal{P}_j} \times \mathcal{C}_{:\mathcal{F}_j})$ , where*

- $\mathcal{C}_{:\mathcal{P}_j}$  is the subsystem of  $\mathcal{C}$  with support in  $\mathcal{P}_j = \{k \in \mathcal{I} \mid k < j\}$
- $\mathcal{C}_{:\mathcal{F}_j}$  is the subsystem of  $\mathcal{C}$  with support in  $\mathcal{F}_j = \{k \in \mathcal{I} \mid k > j\}$ .

**Theorem 5 (Bases of subsystems)** *Let  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq ((\mathbb{F}^n)^{\mathcal{I}})_f$  be a finite linear system with minimal-span basis  $\mathcal{G}$ , and let  $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$  be any subinterval of the time axis  $\mathcal{I}$ . Then the subsystem  $\mathcal{C}_{:\mathcal{J}}$  is generated by the subset  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{J}} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  of generators whose support is contained in  $\mathcal{J}$ .*

*Proof.* By the predictable support property, a sequence generated by  $\mathcal{G}$  has support in  $\mathcal{J}$  if and only if it is a linear combination of generators with support in  $\mathcal{J}$ . □

It follows that the minimal dimension of the state space  $\Sigma_j$  at cut time  $j$  in any state realization of  $\mathcal{C}$  is the number of generators in a minimal-span basis  $\mathcal{G}$  whose support covers  $j$ ; *i.e.*, which are “active” at time  $j$ . □

## Finite LTI systems over $\mathbb{F}$

### Theorem 6 (Minimal-span bases for finite LTI systems over $\mathbb{F}$ )

A nontrivial LTI system  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $\mathbb{F}$  has a minimal-span basis consisting of all time shifts  $\{D^d \mathbf{g}, d \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  of a single polynomial generator  $\mathbf{g}$  with  $\text{del } \mathbf{g} = 0$ .

*Proof.* By time-invariance, the shortest-span generator starting at time  $d$  is a time shift by  $D^d$  of the shortest-span generator starting at time 0. By Corollary 2, no more than one generator can start at any time.  $\square$

An  $\mathbb{F}[D, D^{-1}]$ -**ideal** is a set of finite sequences that is closed under  $\mathbb{F}[D, D^{-1}]$ -linear combinations.

**Lemma 7**  $\mathbb{F}[D, D^{-1}]$ -ideal = finite LTI system over  $\mathbb{F}$ .

A **principal ideal** is the set  $(g(D)) = \{a(D)g(D) \mid a(D) \in \mathbb{F}[D, D^{-1}]\}$  of  $\mathbb{F}[D, D^{-1}]$ -multiples of a single finite sequence  $g(D)$ .

**Theorem 8 (The finite sequences form a PID)** Every ideal in the ring  $\mathbb{F}[D, D^{-1}]$  of finite sequences in  $D$  over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  is a principal ideal; i.e.,  $\mathbb{F}[D, D^{-1}]$  is a principal ideal domain (PID).

*Proof.* Theorem 6 and Lemma 7.

$p(D)$  is the **greatest common divisor** (gcd) of two finite sequences  $g(D)$  and  $h(D)$  if every common divisor of  $g(D)$  and  $h(D)$  divides  $p(D)$ .

**Lemma 9 (GCDs)** The gcd of two finite sequences  $g(D)$  and  $h(D)$  is the generator of the ideal of all their  $\mathbb{F}[D, D^{-1}]$ -linear combinations:

$$(g(D)) + (h(D)) = \{a(D)g(D) + b(D)h(D) \mid a(D), b(D) \in \mathbb{F}[D, D^{-1}]\}.$$

Corollary: there exist  $a(D), b(D)$  such that

$$\text{gcd}(g(D), h(D)) = a(D)g(D) + b(D)h(D).$$



## Finite LTI systems over $\mathbb{F}^n$

### Theorem 10 (Minimal-span bases for finite LTI systems over $\mathbb{F}^n$ )

A finite LTI system  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $\mathbb{F}^n$  has a minimal-span basis consisting of all time shifts of  $k \leq n$  finite generators  $\{\mathbf{g}_i, 1 \leq i \leq k\}$  with  $\text{del } \mathbf{g}_i = 0$ .

*Proof.* Choose a set of shortest-span linearly independent generators that start at time 0, and all their time shifts. By Corollary 2, there can be at most  $n$  of them.  $\square$

Notes: The integer  $k$  is the *rank* of  $\mathcal{C}$  as a free  $\mathbb{F}[D, D^{-1}]$ -module. The fraction  $k/n$  is the *rate* of  $\mathcal{C}$  as a code.

### Theorem 11 (Invariant factor theorem for finite LTI systems)

If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a finite LTI system, then there exists

- a basis  $\{\mathbf{a}_j(D), 1 \leq j \leq n\}$  for  $\mathbb{F}[D, D^{-1}]^n$ , and
- a set of  $k \leq n$  monic delay-zero finite sequences  $\{\gamma_i(D), 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ , called the *invariant factors* of  $\mathcal{C}$ ,

such that

- $\gamma_i(D)$  divides  $\gamma_{i+1}(D)$  for  $1 \leq i < k$ , and
- $\{\gamma_i(D)\mathbf{a}_j(D), 1 \leq i \leq k\}$  is an  $\mathbb{F}[D, D^{-1}]$ -basis for  $\mathcal{C}$ .

*Proof.* Theorem 8 shows that  $\mathbb{F}[D, D^{-1}]$  is a PID, and Theorem 10 shows that the rank of  $\mathcal{C}$  as an  $\mathbb{F}[D, D^{-1}]$ -module is  $k \leq n$ . The rest of the argument follows standard module-theoretic proofs.  $\square$

Question: What is the relation (if any) between an invariant-factor basis of  $\mathcal{C}$  and a minimal-span basis for  $\mathcal{C}$ ?

## Invariant-factor and minimal-span bases

An invariant-factor basis is not necessarily a minimal-span basis; *e.g.*,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 + D & D \\ -D & 1 - D \end{bmatrix}$$

is an invariant-factor basis for  $\mathbb{F}[D, D^{-1}]^2$ , which has minimal-span basis

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

However, the latter is also an invariant-factor basis for  $\mathbb{F}[D, D^{-1}]^2$ .

A minimal-span basis is not necessarily an invariant-factor basis; *e.g.*,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 - D & 1 - D \\ 1 & 1 - D & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is a minimum-span basis for a rate-2/3 system  $\mathcal{C}$  whose invariant factors are  $\gamma_1(D) = 1, \gamma_2(D) = 1 - D$ , and which has an invariant-factor basis

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 - D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 - D \end{bmatrix}.$$

However, the latter is also a minimal-span basis for  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Theorem 12 (Canonical bases)** *Every finite LTI system  $\mathcal{C}$  has a basis which is both a minimal-span basis and an invariant-factor basis.*

*Proof.* Start with an invariant-factor basis  $\{\gamma_i(D)\mathbf{a}_j(D), 1 \leq i \leq k\}$  for  $\mathcal{C}$ . If the starting-time coefficient matrix and the stopping-time coefficient matrix are full-rank over  $\mathbb{F}$ , then by Corollary 2 we are done. Otherwise, if the starting-time coefficient matrix is not full-rank, then there is an  $\mathbb{F}$ -linear combination  $\mathbf{g}(D)$  of the basis  $n$ -tuples whose delay is greater than zero; substitute a time shift of  $\mathbf{a}(D)$  for  $\gamma_m(D)\mathbf{a}_m(D)$ , where  $m$  is the least index of the  $n$ -tuples involved in the combination. We proceed similarly if the stopping-time coefficient matrix is not full-rank. The process must terminate in a finite number of steps with the desired basis.  $\square$

# Conclusion

Conclusion: another direction for algebraic coding theory

Invariant-factor decomposition depends on linearity, time-invariance

Minimal-realization theory may be extended further

- Group systems and codes
- Non-time-invariant systems and codes (including block)
- Systems and codes on cycle-free graphs

Minimal realizations not well-defined on graphs with cycles

- Even so, a duality theory still applies